

thoroughly competent man engaged on a good salary and set to work on this for a number of years. Some system must be put into the scheme on the practical side as well as on the larger theoretical side. The University has acted most wisely in relieving the Principal of his class duties for a year, that he may devote all his energies to this work, but we need Principal Gordon for a hundred other things as well, and it may well be questioned, whether it is either wise or fair to place upon him the additional practical burden of securing the actual funds. His assistance will be invaluable and all his spare moments fully occupied with the larger, though less practical aspects, of the scheme.

Furthermore, we might make bold enough to suggest to the Presbyteries throughout the land that, while resolutions and expressions of sympathy are very fine and encouraging, yet the endowment of the Church University demands something very much more substantial than that. Some one must "rustle" for the *dollar* and the success of the scheme depends on the competence of that individual. Perhaps this man should be appointed by the General Assembly itself since the University is now under the protection of that body.

Several presbyteries, we are informed, have taken the question vigorously in hand and have a complete organization of most enthusiastic men who have already secured a considerable portion of the amount aimed at. We wish them every success in their work, for it means much to the future efficiency of Queen's. The church has a valuable asset in the university, and its worth can best be retained by increasing its power by means of liberal

gifts. The university itself is just a great gift to the church and to the country.

THE GRANT HALL FUND.

REGARDING the Grant Hall Fund and those who assisted so ably in securing the subscriptions for that purpose, we feel that there was a slight oversight, in the addresses, at the opening ceremonies, of many who were decidedly instrumental in making the project a success. We tried to procure a list of the leading workers, for publication in the last number of the JOURNAL, but were unable to do so. Since that time a complete list of the members of the Committee has been secured, and we trust that our friends and patrons will fully understand how the oversight occurred. The generous gifts of Hon. Wm. Harty and others were most gladly received and their donors will always be welcome visitors at the University, but besides these, there was much hard work done by both students and graduates which must not be overlooked. Besides that done by Mr. Wallace, the Chairman, much valuable work was done by all the members of the Committee and especially by J. J. Harpell and J. C. Windell among the students, while, outside the College, the two men who are deserving of special mention on account of the volunteer work they did and the time they devoted to it, are the Rev. Dr. Milligan, Toronto, and Mr. Richard Lees, M.A., of St. Thomas.

In honor of those who labored in partial obscurity, but whose work was no less valuable, we give here a full list of the members of the Grant Hall Committee:

Post-Graduates—Rev. J. Wallace,