

Letter No. 11.

MONTREAL, 28th Feb., 1872.

C. M. SMITH, Esq.,

DEAR SIR,—It seems pretty certain that, in addition to money payments, the following stock will have to be distributed :

Hon. D. L. Macpherson.....	\$100,000
Hon. A. B. Foster.....	100,000
D. A. Smith.....	100,000
C. J. Brydges.....	100,000
J. J. C. Abbott.....	50,000
D. McInnes.....	50,000
John Shedden.....	50,000
A. Allan.....	50,000
C. S. Gzowski.....	50,000
George Brown.....	50,000
A. S. Hincks.....	50,000
H. Nathan.....	50,000
T. McGreevy.....	50,000
	\$850,000

To meet this I propose to give up of our stock as follows :

C. M. Smith.....	\$250,000
G. W. McMullen.....	250,000
Hugh Allan.....	350,000
	\$850,000

Please say if this is agreeable to you. I do not think we can do with less, and may have to give more. I do not think we will require more than \$100,000 in cash, but I am not sure as yet. Who am I to draw on for money when it is wanted, and what proof of payment will be required? You are aware I cannot get receipts.

Our Legislature meets 11th April, and I am already deep in preparation for the game. Every day brings up some new difficulty to be encountered, but I hope to meet them all successfully. Write to me immediately.

Yours truly,

(Signed,) HUGH ALLAN.

I think you will have to *go it blind* in the matter of money (cash payments). I have already paid \$8,500, and have not a voucher, and cannot get one.

See supplemental Contract made in pursuance to requests in this letter, and at other times.

Letter No 12.

MONTREAL, 12th June, 1872.

G. W. McMULLEN, Esq.,
Russell House, Ottawa.

DEAR SIR,—I have this day received a telegram from you dated New York, asking me to meet you in Ottawa to-morrow on important business. I am unable to go, and if the important business refers to the Pacific Railroad scheme, I do not think it necessary I should go. I believe I have got the whole matter arranged through my French friends, by means you are aware of, and we have now the pledge of Sir G. that we will have a