

BICYCLES!


decided to add the manu-
facturing business, have instructed
their entire stock Ladies' and
Gents',

STREET, TORONTO,

on, Sept 7th

Terms Cash, 613

Auctioneers



ices

and GENIS.

Guaranteed \$30

second-hand

\$25 each.

N & SONS

West.

AMUSEMENTS.

2nd Place Prize,

McBurney-Beattie

Bicycle.

First Time Prize,

Parlor Suite

Value \$100.

IT GRADE,

RACING WHEEL.

RACE—20 MILE

and Tourist C.C.

Sept. 10, '98

ing 2 Time, 1 Team and 3 special

Entries close Sept. 1. For entry

LISTON, Sec. Treas. Office and Show

Championship, 1898, 2 mile Bicycle

General Admission, 50c.

AND EVERY NIGHT

WARD AND

Next Week

and

THE FUNNIEST

SHOW IN TOWN.

Governors.

ING HIT—EVERYBODY LIKES IT.

AR TORONTO

OPERA HOUSE

ALL THIS WEEK—

ON THE SUWANEE RIVER

Next—Kelly & Mason.

FINNISH

THIS WEEK

ay at 2:15 To-Night at 8:15

ings

Company in JANE

ES: MATINEES, 10 and 15c

NIGHTS, 15, 15 and 50c

Week—Monte Cristo.

auditorium

THEATRE

Two weeks comm. Aug. 28.

Old Virginia Minstrel

and a Grand Vaudeville

and Dramatic Bill

to open

to 5 p.m.

ing.

Direction Crescent A.C.

This Saturday Night.

MUTUAL STREET RINK.

Popp (Toronto) v. Goldstein

10, 15 rounds. Preliminary

bulv. v. Jim Smith, 10 rounds; Max

W. v. Jack Smith, 5 rounds. Re-

W. v. C. Kelly, Buffalo. Prices, 60c

Reserved seats \$1. Now on sale

at 10 p.m. Service—Boat leaves at

10, 10 a.m., 2 and 6.45 p.m.

THEIR DEBARRATIONS DONE

The Foresters Closed Their Supreme

Court Session Yesterday.

Appeals Made—Petitions Presented—

Constitutional Report—A Growing

Tribute to the Supreme Chief

Ranger—The Chief Acknowledges

All Past Kindness—Final Meet-

ing.

The Supreme Court, I.O.F., met again yester-

day morning, Dr. Oronhyateka in the

chair. After reading of minutes the Supreme

Chief Ranger rose to speak, and in tone

that vibrated with deep emotion made

acknowledgment of the court's action on

Thursday, Dr. Oronhyateka said that the

court had placed him under a lasting obli-

gation. He could not express his gratitude

for their unbounded generosity and kindness

to him and his. Bro. A. W. Fraser had,

in one of the previous days' debates, ex-

pressed exactly his personal feelings. He

valued the love and confidence of the mem-

bers of the order infinitely more than any

gift they could confer upon him. [Applause.]

And he thought he could fairly say that

there was no member of the order, of high

degree, whom he had not always

been ready to meet as a brother. [Renewed

applause.] Of late his health had been so

impaired that he had been very nervous

and irritable, and the Supreme Secretary and

his other colleagues had felt the effect of

it. [No, no.] And when he went home and

thought of all he felt thoroughly ashamed

of himself. "But," went on the Chief, "it

is their own fault. [Laughter.] They are so

good to me that they let me do as I like.

But they know one thing. They know that

if anyone tries to do or say anything against

them, they have behind them all the in-

fluence and support of the Supreme Chief

Ranger, for I know that they are men of

fidelity and absolutely worthy of confi-

dence. I thank Bro. McElfresh for his

generosity. I do not know what I shall do,

but I know that Bro. McElfresh will leave

the interesting out, and perhaps some mor-

ning before he is of bed I shall pre-

pare. [Laughter.] I desire this publicly to ac-

knowledge not only the kindness of the

Supreme Court, but also this individual

expression of opinion. You will be glad to

hear that the acute depression from which

I have been suffering is passing away,

thanks to my friend, Dr. Prowert. For

four or five years I have been laboring un-

der a disadvantage. I have had myself for

a patient, and have been taking my own

medicine. I have concluded that I have

had a fool of a doctor—[great laughter]—

and have therefore changed my physician.

Once more, let me to the bottom of my

heart, thank you all. [Applause.]

Petitions and Appeals.

The report of the Committee on Petitions

and Appeals was next taken up. The pe-

tition of H. Peters, Jr., a claim of \$85

for medical attendance, was rejected on the

ground that, under the constitution he was

bound to give free medical attendance to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

Bro. H. Post for the same reason.

A disability claim presented by Bro. W.

J. Brown, on the ground that he had been

injured by the fall of a beam, was rejected on

the ground that this does not constitute per-

manent disability, it was not then, being

the cause of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a

refund of \$140 over-assessment was re-

jected, on the ground that the assessment

was correct. The claim of Bro. Widdie was

dismissed on the ground that the assump-

tion of death, as well as that relating to

the case of W. J. Wilkinson, the appeal in-

volved no constitutional point and was dis-

missed. The appeal of Bro. Kehoe for a