

Hon. Mr. Monette: That may be another part of the suggestion. The only point I am making is that it would not be necessary to present evidence here; the testimony already given could be received. As far as I personally am concerned, I am ready to go so far as to say that if the Senate is prepared to accept as proof of adultery the evidence of adultery on which the judgment for separation in Quebec has been founded, that would appear reasonable, and duplication of evidence would be avoided.

Motion agreed to and bills read the second time and passed, on division.

THIRD READINGS

The Hon. the Speaker: Honourable senators, when shall these bills be read the third time?

Hon. Mr. Roebuck: With the indulgence of Senate, I move the third readings now.

Motion agreed to and bills read third time and passed, on division.

The Senate adjourned until tomorrow at 3 p.m.
