

## Questions

3. University graduate, bilingual, with administrative and journalistic background.

4. Acting director, information services, Department of Public Works.

5. Selection board of National Capital Commission management committee.

FAMILY AND YOUTH ALLOWANCES  
PAYMENTS

## Question No. 340—Mr. Mazankowski:

1. Of the total of \$612,865,000 payable in family and youth allowances, as shown in the revised estimates for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1969, what portion will be paid to (a) Quebec (b) Ontario (c) Atlantic Provinces (d) Manitoba (e) Saskatchewan (f) Alberta and (g) British Columbia?

2. What is the estimate for these payments for the fiscal year 1969-1970?

**Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of National Health and Welfare):** 1. (a) Quebec, \$164,326,000; (b) Ontario, \$214,753,000; (c) Atlantic Provinces, \$67,907,000; (d) Manitoba, \$28,968,000; (e) Saskatchewan, \$30,391,000; (f)\* Alberta, \$49,066,000; (g) British Columbia, \$57,454,000.

\* The amount for Alberta includes the estimates for the Yukon and Northwest Territories.

2. No estimate for the fiscal year 1969-70 is available at the present time.

## REPAIRS TO H.M.C.S. "SASKATCHEWAN"

## Question No. 345—Mr. Anderson:

1. Have repairs to HMCS *Saskatchewan*, damaged when run onto a rock in Active Pass on September 8, 1968, been completed?

2. If so, what was the cost of these repairs?

3. If not, what is the estimated cost of repairs?

**Mr. D. W. Groos (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence):** 1. No.

2 and 3. Emergency repairs were carried out in H.M.C. Dockyard Esquimalt at a cost of \$13,545. Further repairs will be made in 1969, at an estimated cost of \$75,000.

## RECALLS OF DEFECTIVE MOTOR VEHICLES

## Question No. 359—Mr. Mather:

Is the automobile industry required to report "call backs" of defective vehicles to the government and, if so, what departments are advised and what use is made of the information?

**Hon. Paul Hellyer (Minister of Transport):** No, but the manufacturers have been voluntarily reporting on their call back campaigns.

[Mr. Marchand (Langelier).]

This matter is now under discussion with the industry to develop a permanent procedure for submitting their reports.

\*COMPLETION OF POST OFFICE,  
PORT-AUX-CHOIX, NFLD.

## Question No. 378—Mr. Marshall:

What steps are being taken to complete the Post Office at Port-Aux-Choix in Newfoundland now that the contractors have defaulted through bankruptcy?

**Mr. Paul Langlois (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Public Works):** Mr. Speaker, tenders for the completion of the work were called and a contract has now been awarded.

REMOVAL OF HARBOUR WRECKS,  
SYDNEY, N.S.

## Question No. 382—Mr. Muir (Cape Breton-The Sydneys):

1. Did the Department of Transport, in 1965, contemplate the removal of wrecks in Sydney Harbour and, if so (a) how many were included in the project (b) were tenders called for their removal and what was the departmental estimate of cost for the job (c) how many bids were received and what was the amount in each case?

2. If tenders were called and bids received, what is the reason for not proceeding with the work?

3. Were alternate plans considered as, for instance, the removal only of those appearing above low water mark?

4. Did the Department regard the removal of these wrecks strictly on the ground that they might constitute a hazard to navigation or was the problem considered in the light of unsightliness and general harbour improvement?

5. Did the Department at anytime in recent years consider removal of the remains of old wharfs and other waterfront debris?

**Hon. Paul Hellyer (Minister of Transport):** 1. Yes. (a) 14; (b) Yes, \$30,000; (c) Four, \$94,500; \$177,000; \$210,930; \$234,000.

2. The lowest bid was more than three times the estimate and was considered excessive for the work to be performed.

3. No.

4. The removal of these wrecks was regarded strictly on the ground that they might constitute a hazard to navigation.

5. No.

## HARBOUR WRECK REMOVAL, SYDNEY, N.S.

## Question No. 383—Mr. Muir (Cape Breton-The Sydneys):

1. Did the Department of National Defence authorize the removal of defence structures at