

Mr. PUGSLEY. It was made by Dr. Rutherford, who is the veterinary general of the Dominion.

Mr. EDWARDS. When?

Mr. PUGSLEY. The very day after the vote was reduced, he came and called attention to the fact that an error had been made in reducing it.

Mr. EDWARDS. My reason for wanting to know if the Minister of Agriculture had made the request is because I am under the impression that he said we would not require so many quarantine stations on this side of the line if the proposed arrangement came into effect, because the cattle would be all going the other way. They would be shipped south instead of coming into this country.

Mr. PORTER. Is this to cover expenditure already made?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Cribbins Point Wharf, Nova Scotia, \$350.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is for the renewal of the superstructure and will finish up the work.

Mr. CROCKET. Has the Crown got a title to this property?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

L'Ardoise, beach protection work, \$600.

Mr. PUGSLEY. At the last session there was a vote of \$1,800 for the construction of beach protection works at this place. The appropriation had been expended, but the work was not entirely completed, and the chief engineer decided it was absolutely necessary that this additional expenditure should be incurred.

Mr. PORTER. Was this done by contract?

Mr. PUGSLEY. No, by day labour.

Mr. CROCKET. Will this complete the expenditure?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes.

Margaree Harbour, to complete repairs of breakwater, \$1,850.

Mr. PUGSLEY. During last session we voted \$2,300 to repair the breakwater, and this additional amount is required to make a good job.

Mr. CROCKET. Was there any expenditure before the present year?

Mr. PUGSLEY. I cannot tell as I have no memorandum of that.

Mr. PORTER. Where is this harbour?

Mr. PUGSLEY. In Inverness county.

Mr. CROCKET. What amount was voted last year?

Mr. PUGSLEY. \$2,300. The work consisted in the removal of the outer end of the breakwater, the construction of a new block and the repairing of the main breakwater. When the work was undertaken in the spring, it was found that further damage had been done in the winter and it was necessary to make some extensive repairs, entailing an additional expenditure of \$750. This was authorized by order in council of 26th September, 1910. The resident engineer reported that unless this amount was expended the work already done would be liable to be carried away in the winter's storms. It was done by day work.

Mr. CROCKET. Was there an estimate made of the cost before the work was begun?

Mr. PUGSLEY. It was estimated that \$2,300 would do the whole thing.

Mr. CROCKET. A total of nearly \$4,000.

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes, by reason of the work being damaged during a storm after the estimate was made.

Mr. CROCKET. When did the storm occur?

Mr. PUGSLEY. The chief engineer reports that the damage was done during last winter, and also, I understand, further damage in the spring. In fact, the district engineer reports that while the work was being proceeded with an accident occurred due to a series of severe storms and gales.

Upper Prospect, breakwater, \$637.50.

Mr. PUGSLEY. This is a work called for by District Engineer Russell.

Mr. CROCKET. What was voted last year?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Three thousand dollars.

Mr. CROCKET. Is this the end of it?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Yes, this finishes the work.

West Dublin Bay, additional dredging, \$4,599.85.

Mr. CROCKET. Is that by contract or is it government dredging?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Contract.

Mr. CROCKET. At what price?

Mr. PUGSLEY. Three tenders were received. We let the contract at 45 cents per cubic yard. That is a lower price than the lowest tender, but we declined to give it at the lowest tender price, and got it at 45 cents a yard.

Mr. CROCKET. Why was the lowest tender refused?

Mr. PUGSLEY. We thought it was not low enough.