

QUEEN'S COLLEGE JOURNAL.

VOL. XV.

KINGSTON, CANADA, FEB. 25th, 1888.

No. 7.

* Queen's College Journal *

Published in TWELVE NUMBERS during the session by the
ALMA MATER SOCIETY of Queen's University.

STAFF:

EDWARD RYAN, B.A., - *Managing Editor.*
ADAM SHORTT, M.A., - *Editor.*

EDITING COMMITTEE:

R. PHELAN.	A. G. HAY.
S. CHOWN.	W. J. PATTERSON.
W. S. MORDEN.	G. MALCOLM.
P. A. MCLEOD.	ORR BENNETT, B.A.
D. STRACHAN,	H. LAVELL.
E. S. GRIFFIN.	J. MUIRHEAD.

JAMES A. MINNES, *Secretary-Treasurer.*

TERMS—Per Session, \$1.00; Single Numbers, 10 Cents.

Matter for publication should be addressed to the
Managing Editor. Business letters to the Secretary-
Treasurer, Drawer 1104, Kingston, Ont.

The Managing Editor must be acquainted with the
name of the author of any article.

Subscribers will greatly oblige by promptly sending
notice of any change in address.

“THE Senatus Academicus of the Uni-
versity of Edinburgh has appointed
Dr. Hutchison Stirling to the Gifford Lec-
tureship on Natural Theology for a period
of two years. Dr. Stirling will probably en-
ter on his duties in the course of next win-
ter's session.”

This piece of information, for which we are
indebted to the London *Academy*, will be
good news to all who are interested in the
advance of religious thought. To Dr. Stir-
ling philosophy in England and on this con-
tinent is much indebted. His *Secret of*
Hegel is a work of great moment, which
ought to be read by every student of philo-
sophy. Even those who think that Hegel
might be made more intelligible to the aver-
age mind cannot but admit that it is full of

suggestion. Nor is the *Carlylese*, which is a
mark of Dr. Stirling's style, to be regarded
as altogether a misfortune. We can quite
understand how Emerson should have pre-
ferred it to the style of most philosophical
writers of the present day, on the ground
that it was at least literary. For the rest,
Dr. Stirling is sure to deal with theism in
an illuminating and stimulating way. The
University of Edinburgh is to be congratu-
lated on this somewhat tardy acknowledg-
ment of Dr. Stirling's claims as a teacher.

WE call the attention of all interested
in raising the standard of University
education in the Province to the slightly one-
sided correspondence, which will be found in
another column, between the Senates of
Queen's and Toronto. The advocates of
confederation, consolidation, or whatever
other name has been given from time to
time to the darling notion of “one Univer-
sity in Ontario” have rested their case main-
ly on the argument that only thus could the
standard of matriculation and other Univer-
sity examinations be raised. Granting that
this is a desirable object, evidently we
must begin with matriculation, and it is also
of most importance to make it thorough.
Let the entrance examination to Universities
be a year in advance of what it is now while
the time required to obtain a degree remains
the same, and every one would admit that
much had been gained. Probably all would
be gained that could be desired for some
time to come. Now, it is well known that
some of the loud talking men of Toronto
have been in the habit of throwing on the