

Published on the First and Third Fridays of each Month

Canadian Manufacturer Publishing Co. (LIMITED.) 63 FRONT STREET WEST, TORONTO.

 FREDERIC NICHOLLS, Managing Director.
 J. J. CASSIDEY, Editor.

 SUBSCRIPTION,

 ADVERTISING RATES SENT ON APPLICATION.

 MR. FREDERIC NICHOLLS is Secretary of The Canadian Manufacturers' Association, The Woolen Manufacturers' Association, and The Tanners' Association.

 His Office is at the Publication Office of the

CANADIAN MANUFACTURER, 63 Front Street West. Toronto.

CANADA'S FOREIGN TRADE.

In another page will be found an article on "The Foreign Trade of Canada" reproduced from the editorial pages of Brad. street's. It analyses in a manner the trade of this country with Great Britain and the United States, but it will be observed that nearly all of the facts and figures given have reference to the year 1888, although our contemporary must have been possessed of the Dominion Trade and Navigation Blue Book for the last fiscal year. It will be observed that the exports of Cavadian products in 1889 preponderated in favor of the United States in the products of the mines, fisheries, forests, agriculture, manufactures and miscellaneous; while only the item "animals and their products" preponderated in favor of the United Kingdom; the totals showing that our exports to the United States aggregated \$36,503,297, and to the United Kingdom \$33,504,344. The exports to the United States amounted to 47.20 per cent. of the total exports of the Dominion, and the exports to the United Kingdom to 44.44 per cent., leaving only 8.36 per cent. for all other parts of the world. In like manner the Dominion imports from the United States were 50.06 per cent. and from the United Kingdom but 35.56 per cent. of the total importations.

Referring to the principal imports into Canada from the United States and the United Kingdom respectively in 1888, a table is given that shows all the items where the total from either country exceeded \$1,000,000; and from that table we re arrange as follows :--

Tange as tenews .	
PRINCIPAL IMPORTS 1888.	
From United States	From United Kingdom
Breadstuff	\$97,814
Coal and Coke 3,576,447	204,105
Wood and Manufactures 1,223,772	78,133
Settlers' Effects 1,248,062	409,997
Coin and Bullion 2,041,552	131,077
Cotton and Manufactures 761,623	3,326,324

Ur	From nited States	
Fancy Goods	240,351	1,247,415
Linen Goods, etc		1,304,280
Iron and Steel and Manufactures		4,338,237
Provisions.		2,339,911
Silk and Manufactures		2,448,075
Woollen Goods		9,140,940
Metals and Manufactures		2,507,358
	-	1 1 Libot

These facts are very suggestive. Less than a hundred thous and dollarsworth of breadstuffs were bought from Great Britian but nearly seven and a half millions worth from the United States-Britain is not an exporter of breadstuffs. So, too, as to coal and coke, but very small quantities of these articles came from across the water, and if these quantities were m^{ucB} larger the inland transportation from seaports to consuming points, chiefly in Ontario, would forbid the trade; while $t^{h heta}$ contiguity of the Pennsylvania coke ovens and the coal min^{er} in that State and in Ohio and Indiana enable Ontario consum ers to obtain their supplies there quite as cheaply in many instances, and more cheaply in many other instances than American consumers; and then, too, Great Britain is not a large exporter of coal or coke. And this fact also applies to the country as regards wood and manufactures thereof, and all exports of that character from there are previously importedthe "raw material "-where it is worked up. But the United States is a large producer of such "raw material "-timber and lumber-and exports very largely of its finished products furniture, carriage goods, etc., not only to Canada, b^{gf} to Great Britain also. The items regarding settler's effects and coin and bullion show that there is more than three $tim^{e^{\mu}}$ as much immigration into Canada from the United Sta^{te} than from Great Britain, and that the United States pay about sixteen times as much coin and bullion to Canada P Great Britain does.

On the other hand all the other enumerated items sh^{0} strongly in favor of Great Britain. Although the United States is the largest producer of raw cotton in the world although that country possesses some of the finest cotton millsin the world, and although the raw cotton from which t^{pp} manufactures of cotton imported into Canada from Gree Britain is first carried there from the United States, our i^{μ} portations from the latter country are less than a fourth of $o^{\mu\nu}$ importations from Great Britain. We buy five times as m^{ucl} fancy goods from Great Britain as from the United States, ^{an} of linen goods, etc., forty-two times as much. As regards iro and steel and manufactures thereof the value of importations from the two countries approach each other with remarkable nearness, the difference in favor of Great Britain being only little more than two hundred thousand dollars; and this fac illustrates the fallacy of the Free Trade doctrine that the dut enhances the price; for if this were actually so, the American price should be very much higher than the British pricemuch higher as to preclude any trade with Canada in competition with Great Britian ; while the figures show that while in the year named we bought iron and steel goods from the latter country to the value of \$4,339,237, we also bought in the same year from the United States to the value of \$4,107,504. silk and woollen goods our importations from the United States aggregated only \$267,000, while Great Britain furnished us with an aggregated value of more than \$11,500,000, and this indicates that Canada produces in her own factories about

BY THE