DIARY-CONTENTS-EDITORIAL NOTES.

DIARY FOR MARCH.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS:	PAGE
Criminal information for libel	
Mechanics' Lien Act	
Brief making	
Appeals, ad misricordiam	
Power of sale by surviving executor	68
Discontinuance of Legal News	68
Appointment of Practice Reporters	69
Corroborative Evidence	68
Law Society—Resumé Mich. and Hilary Terms	J 71
SELECTIONS:	
Power of County Court Judges to commit for	or
contempt	78
Privileges of counsel	77
NOTES OF CASES:	
Court of Appeal	79
Common Pleas	82
CANADA REPORTS;	
ONTARIO—CHANCERY CHAMBERS.	
Mallory v. Mallory	82
Decner v. Webb	89
McDermid v. McDermid	84
Re Kingsland's Mortgage	85
Norval v. Canada Southern Railway Co	86
McTaggart v. Merrill	86
WENTWORTH COUNTY COURT.	
Re Richard P. Street	86
UNITED STATES REPORTS:	
Harner v. Dinnle	89
TEM8	06
FLOTSAM AND JETSAM	92
LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA	92
- OL CLIEN CAMADA	• •

Canada Baw Journal,

Toronto, March, 1879.

The subtle leaven of Equity is pervading the Common Law Courts. A new development of the maxim which Chancery delights to honour that "Equality is Equity," may be found in the judgment on Regina v. Wilson, 43 U. C. R. 583, where it is held that "a superior person" has no exceptional privileges in this Province over the common run of litigants, so as to justify the Court in awarding a criminal information at his instance, and for his benefit.

One by one the complexities of the Mechanics' Lien Act are being solved by the judges. The Chancellor, the other day, thought that, when the contract price was payable by instalments, a bill could be filed when the first payment was due, and that application could be made in that suit to be allowed the other instalments as they fell due. He thought this a more merciful course, than requiring a bill to be filed as each payment accrued due.

A correspondent adverts to the fact that, in glancing over the earlier volumes of Grant's reports, he came across a passage which is pertinent to this day, touching the singular fatality which compels Chancery clerks to leave out dates in making up briefs. In 1855, V. C. Spragge, in Donovan v. Lee, 5 Gr. 352, is thus reported: "While alluding to these defects in this brief, I may refer to the common practice of omitting in briefs the dates of pleadings, and of the taking of depositions. The absence of these dates often leaves facts uncertain and obscure." How many times since has the same observa-