

has been secured. There is no reason why it should not be secured here. It is not improbable that in the future the whole range of subjects technically known as gynæcology will be studied not only by women *alone*, but by women *only*. The second suggestion is equally wide of the mark. There is no chance either that men will wish to be attended by women, or that women could ever wish to attend men as doctors. "*You* would not like to be attended by these medical ladies?" said an Edinburgh student canvassing against Miss Jex-Blake to a sage old gentleman. "No, sir," was the reply; "but it is just because I should not like to be attended by a woman that I will do my best that women shall not be forced to submit to the medical attendance of men."

To those who fancy that delicacy is outraged by the acquisition of medical knowledge by women under any circumstances, I would say: Is there no outrage to the sacred ideal of motherhood when all its physical incidents are discussed in detail among men, or expounded by a man to a class of lads? Is there no outrage to that tender and chivalrous ideal of womanhood, which is one of the highest results of Christian civilization, when men are called upon to make minute investigation of the worst that disease can do for a woman's fair form?

The familiar but somewhat antique "wife and mother" argument comes before us next. "Medical women will marry," say some. "Medical women will not marry," say others. Ere we leave these two dreadful alternatives to slay each other, let us glance at each.

"If she ~~marries~~, it will all be thrown away," said a