

TORONTO  
Journal of Philately.

TORONTO, MAY, 1892.

It was our intention when we commenced publishing this Journal to act in the capacity of official organ for the United Philatelic Association, under the name of the *United Association Philatelist*, but it appears that the *Washington Philatelist* has obtained that position in the Society. When things come to pass that the president of an association is so ignored by the other officers that they do not deem it advisable to ask and receive his opinion in a matter of vital importance to such society, then it is time for the president to say something. In the matter of choosing an official organ for any society, a number of journals should be nominated and their terms obtained, and then submitted to the members of the association to vote upon. In this case none of these proceedings have been taken, as they merely applied for the terms of one paper and appeared to be perfectly satisfied with that.

We do not, on the whole, appreciate their taste in appointing a journal that has only issued its initial number, when there are others to be had, perhaps, with more satisfactory terms. However, if the majority of the members approve of this move on their part, we

can only wish them the success which we would if they had chosen another paper.

**READ THIS CAREFULLY.**

What we want is your subscription to this paper. Just for the present we have decided to reduce our price to twenty cents a year; and if you don't get your money's worth it won't be our fault.

But in order to show you that we are determined to do the right thing, we are making the following offers:—

To everyone sending in a yearly subscription, we will give the choice of the following premiums:—

Set of 3 var. Chilean Telegraphs, or set of 9 var. Egypt, or packet of 50 var. fine foreign stamps.

Any one of these premiums is worth the price we ask for the paper. Be sure and send right away before they all go. A hint to the wise is sufficient.

Mr. H. Gremmel, of the "Post Office," wants everybody's opinion on the consolidation question. As far as we are personally concerned we are for amalgamation every time. Societies with only a local interest will never have an international growth, and in consequence will never be so far reaching in their benefits. Mr. Davidson and Mr. Gremmel are to be commended for the business-like manner in which they are taking hold of this matter, and we wish them every success.