

Ingersoll.

Editor Review.

A meeting was held in the Council Chamber last evening for the purpose of forming or organizing a poultry and pet stock association, when the following officers were elected:—G. E. Perkins, president; F. Wixson, 1st vice-president; James Christison, Secretary; W. C. Noxon, Treasurer; J. P. Webster and E. C. Crawford, auditors; board of directors: Messrs. Goodier, C. Edwards; A Crawford, Jno. Knapp, Jas. H. Berry, Jas. Underwood, and R. B. Smith.

The same by-laws and rules governing the London Poultry and Pet Stock Association, except as regards directors, were adopted by this association.

G. E. PERKINS, Sec'y, *pro tem*.

Ingersoll, May 2nd, 1875.

Common Sense.

Editor Review.

I had the pleasure of reading Mr. Doel's letter, in your issue of April. He begins by talking about hard feelings, &c. I fail to see why my letter in February number should engender any hard feelings. I object to the *modus operandi* employed for the election of officers in the Poultry Association of Ontario, and feel I have as much right to make known my feelings on the question as any other fancier or subscriber to your paper. As proof that what I said is correct I beg to call Mr. Doel's attention to your answer in April number to his letter, showing how and by whom officers were elected. The practice is plainly set forth there, and Mr. D. will do well to read it carefully. It ought to do him good. It is quite plain there that nominating committees do elect themselves to office.

As to shielding myself by a false signature, of course in Mr. D's eyes, that's mean. Still it is countenanced the world over and I see fit to accept the privilege. No doubt Mr. D. would like to know who I am, but so long as I don't see that is pertinent to the question he will have to like. One thing sure he is off track. If he knew how slight my acquaintance was with Mr. Butterfield, he would know at once I did not write in any malice toward that gentleman. Perhaps it will be some satisfaction to Mr. D. to tell him that I do not know Mr. Butterfield at all, but finding his name as seconder (at meeting held in City Hall, Toronto, 1883) of nominating committee of which he was a member; also of the board nominated, and afterwards appointed judge by the same board, was my reason and only one, for using his name to illustrate the working of such an improper system.

I have a slight acquaintance with Mr. Doel, and know him to be a man who jumps at conclusions, just as he has done in this case. He thinks he knows who I am. I think he don't. I am not the editor of the Review, nor of any other paper. I am engaged for the present, at least, in quite a different business. The P. A. of Ont. is a public body, and I claim that it is perfectly legitimate for any one to criticise its proceedings, and do this too over a *nom de plume* if the writer so thinks fit, and so long as he confines himself to facts pertinent to questions at issue, it is perfectly consistent; much more so than for Mr. D. or any other officer of the association, to wave the flag of infallibility over their actions, and claiming immunity from criticism.

COMMON SENSE.

Guelph, 9th May, 1885.

"Consistency, Thou Art a Jewel."

Editor Review.

In your April number, Mr. Doel commences his communication thus, "I am sorry to see such hard feelings, and hard writings in your paper between poultry fanciers in Ontario. It tends to the injury of the fanciers and to your paper also."

After manifesting considerable ill feeling towards the Review and its publisher, Mr. Doel winds up his letter by stating, as his belief, that for years it has been the general work of the Review, to do all the injury possible to Toronto and Toronto fanciers.

What consistency is here displayed, what tender regard for the feelings of Mr. Fullerton, and his hundreds of friends, scattered all over the Dominion; and what an excellent example for one of the fathers of the fancy to set the younger fry.

As a resident of Toronto for upwards of twelve years, I may state that Mr. Doel is the first that I have heard say anything against the Review, which is welcomed and anxiously looked for by every fancier in the city with whom I am personally acquainted.

But I can quite understand that the sentiments of the Review (which is very antagonistic to rings and cliques of every description) will not be relished in all quarters, and the malcontents can easily be distinguished from the true fancier, who lives at peace with the world, and breeds poultry; instead of pulling wires and endeavoring to stir up strife.

Yours very truly,
T. A. WILLETTS,
(Late of Toronto.)

Ottawa, April 27th, 1885.

—WHO CAN BEAT IT?—"The editor of *The News* boasts of the exploits of some Plymouth Rock fowls he owns. Six pullets have laid seven eggs on each of four different days within ten days. As they are nearly a quarter of a mile from any other fowls, and are closely watched, the matter can be determined to a certainty. Now the next man can tell his story." I cut above from *Carrington News* (Dakota). I am an old friend of the editor, (J. M. Wyard), and can vouch for its accuracy.—J. F. SCRIVEN, Montreal, April 21st. 1875.