

The Theological Instructor.

No. 7.

TORONTO, MAY, 1874.

VOL. I.

OUR CORRESPONDENTS AND CONTRIBUTORS.

We publish with great pleasure the communication of the Rev. Mr. Ford, of Toronto, and that of our Reverend friend from the Diocese of Huron. Both communications are very timely and highly necessary. The statement of Mr. Ford is just what we had expected, and is simply unanswerable; it places the members of the Church Association before the public in a very unenviable light.

We beg to assure our readers that we have no desire to take any part in the squabbles of the day. If the gentlemen who wrote the articles for the Church Association had any truth on their side, in their avowed opposition to the clergy we would long since have been found with them fighting the battles of the Reformation, and contending for the truth of God's word against Popish innovations and Romish practices; but, on examining the subject, we cannot defend them, because we find their accusations against the brethren to be wicked untruths and destitute, of the slightest resemblance of facts.

If High Churchmen, or any other kind of churchmen, pursued the same line of misrepresentation as the parties in question, we would be just as free to condemn them as any others; so that sinners of their stamp need not take shelter inside the walls of the Low Church party, in order to screen themselves from the

charge of malice and wickedness. It is the "seed of the serpent" that we denounce so strongly; although we are to expect from them nothing but opposition to Christ. Let them give us the attested facts against the Clergy and we will not only publish them free of charge, but we will unite in exposing the Jesuistical trickery of the Papists in sending their agents to counteract the teaching of the Church of the blessed Reformation.

Because the Pope may have a few paid Ritualists in England to counteract the teaching of the Church, that is no reason why we should denounce every one we may desire to quarrel with as a Papist, Ritualist, and innovator. It is therefore neither one party nor the other we denounce, but sinners of both parties who seem to forget the ninth commandment, and who themselves prove that they are the generation of vipers described by St. John the Baptist (Mat. iii., 7): taking evident pleasure in works of mischief. The ringleader of the "Accusers," we understand, was not brought up a Churchman at all, but a Socinian; and whatever his virtues or excellencies may be, we are quite sure it is a piece of unsurpassed presumption in him to presume to teach Church Clergymen their own religion. With all respect to the gentleman referred to, we do not think him a competent teacher of religion.