riod of coldness and Church, which is orgian era during the Prayer Book ed, many of its lisbelleved, persons and churches and o decay; out of this re arose at length ine, which, in the ent of to-day, has cevival of spiritual or. Newman, Keble, n as the Oxford e Church in their mbers who were tant in sentiment. twithstanding this ook, as it had been lated from Catholic roughly saturated its Offices, Liturgy,

that taught the Church doctrines what they were rd divines claimed IX Articles were en that while they ed to the loose areless reader to lor to the Church. rictly expressed Catholicity only; he XXIId Article y worded as not he Early Church ory, Invocation ation of Images nly against the incerning" those 10 prayers and from Catholic eretore, as they he, though not

as sense. They al Regeneration coes for Baptism; ic Office, the Articles ective Presence ltar; that the many words

must be inter-

that Presence was 'under the forms of bread and wine:' that the Eucharistic Office and the Office for the Visitation of the Sick taught Auricular Confession, and that the formularies of the Church recognised the five 'lesser Sacraments' of Confirmation, Penance Orders, Matrimony, and Extreme Unction, authorized prayers for the Faithful Departed, and did not prohibit the Invocation of Saints.

It is now over forty years since this revival of what were thus claimed to be the doctrines of the Prayer Book began. And as the Oxford school of thought has been recognized as one of the permitted schools of thought in the English Church, its doctrines have been spreading and taking root ever since throughout the Anglican Communion on both sides of the water. It is to this doctrinal soil that the sturdy and troublesome forest of Ritualism has its roots.

In its outward aspect the Ritualistic movement seems to be confined mainly to a development of the ceremonial of the altar; in candles, to symbolize and teach that He is objectively present there, Who is the Light of the World; in genuflections and other adorations, because He that is so present in special sense is God as well as Man; in Eucharistic vestments (consisting of amice, alb, girdle, maniple, stole and chasuble, which can only be worn by a priest) because the great function of the altar is distinct from and higher than the choir offices of Morning and Evening Prayer, which are said simply in the surplice, a garment that can be worn even by organists, choristers and other laymen; in flowers and incense, as a further expression of the reality of His presence to whom the Magi bore incense; in the elevation of the sacred elements, and in the use of water-bread, and of the mixed chalice.

Such is Ritualism in its outward aspect to the casual observer, and it would seem to him to be useless to

attempt to suppress it, while its potent cause, namely, the doctrines of the Real Objective Presence, of which it is merely the expression, remains untouched or permitted. But this is not all. The Ritualistic or Catholic movement, as it is called, involves much beside the outward ceremonial of the Altar. It involves the revival of voluntary confession, of Sisterhoods and Brotherhoods, of Missions, of Retreats, of the Practices of Medita-tion and Contemplation, of frequent offerings of the 'Sacrifice of the Altar, of Spiritual Communions, and of frequent and fasting Sacramental Communions, of the bringing out of the Eucharistic Service instead of Morning Prayer as the main service on Sunday forenoon, and, in general, a revival of ascetic and moral Theology, and of the Spiritual Life. Further. more, it looks to a reunion, first with the Greek Church and with the Old Catholics, and eventually of all Christendom, on the basis of the Apostolic Succession, the seven Catholic sacraments, the Creed and the first six General Councils.

'If,' says the Ritualists, or 'Catholics' as they prefer to be called, 'the Lord being present on the Roman altar, it is right for the Roman Catholics in their own eyes and in the eyes of a justifying world to surround His throne with an expressive ritual, and if the Lord being present on the Greek altar, it is right for the Greek Churchmen in their own eyes and in the eyes of a justifying world to surround their altar also with a gorgeous ritual, then on what principle can the Anglican Churchman be considered ridiculous or puerile for having a similar ritual, so long as the Prayer Book asserts what we declare it asserts, namely, the same Real, Objective Presence on the Ang hean altar that is on the Roman say, ' the Prayer Book of the English Church expressly commands the very