

4352  
chiefly is to handle it from the point of view of administrative expediency for the employer. That is, I think, the most useful method of approach - at any rate new & for the sort of audience I ~~mean~~ <sup>meet</sup>, which (both in general society & in the classroom) is mainly of the manufacturing class.

You are doubtless following our discussions on labour law very closely. I wonder whether you have anything in Canada comparable to the Taff Vale judgment. We are all looking forward with curiosity to the ~~speech~~ <sup>speech</sup> promised for the bill. You have doubtless seen the report of the R. Com. in the Times. My impression is that Asquith & Dalrymple want a bill on those lines & especially as to Taff Vale - accepting the judgment but allowing facultative earmarking of free benefit funds. But the ~~same~~ labour party have committed themselves to the ante-Taff Vale plank - they are supported by the extreme radical journal, Daily News & Speaker, - & Sir Charles Dilke will do all

he can to embroil them the first in reverse for being left out of the Ministry. So we are going to see an interesting episode. Under these circumstances

I have written an article for the Standard National Review which I should like to see at. More of the line that the Taff Vale judgment should be allowed to in the interests of unionism itself. This I think is a novel point & I hope it will secure some attention.

I shall I get the article completed by my hands before the report of the Com. appears.

I write you would put the striking of the Union? I should be glad to see you making link for the Labour Gazette - you would have the back when you started but I have seen none since. If we could have the back number of the present vol. it would enable us to start fair.

With renewed thanks for your kind wishes I remain with  
Yours truly  
W. L. Mackenzie King