

justices, Jonathan Sewell, Esquire, and James Monk, Esquire; which, their Lordships observe, were not made by the said chief justices, respectively, upon their own sole authority, but by them, in conjunction with the other judges of their respective courts, are all rules for the regulation of the practice of their respective courts, and within the scope of that power and jurisdiction with which, by the rules of law, and by the colonial ordinances and acts of legislation, these courts are invested, *as well* consequently that neither the said chief justices, nor the courts in which they preside, have, in making such rules, exceeded their authority, nor have been guilty of any assumption of legislative power."

His Royal Highness the Prince Regent, having taken the said report into consideration, was pleased, in the name and on the behalf of his Majesty, and by and with the advice of his Majesty's Privy Council, to approve thereof; and to order, as it is hereby ordered, That the said complaints, so far as they relate to the said rules of practice, be, and they are hereby dismissed this board.

(Signed)

JAMES BULLER.

---

No. II.

*Downing-Street, July 23, 1815.*

Sir,

His Royal Highness the Prince Regent having been pleased to refer to the consideration of a committee of the most honourable Privy Council certain articles of complaint against you and Mr. Monk, so far as related to the rules of practice established by you in the courts in which you respectively preside, it now becomes my duty to communicate to you the result of that inquiry; which, having received the entire approbation of his Royal Highness, is expressed in the order, of which the inclosed is a copy (a).

Letter from  
Earl Bathurst.

(a) Vide, ante,  
No. I.

The officer, at present administering the government of Canada, has received his Royal Highness's commands to communicate this decision to the House of Assembly; and, in making this communication, to state the grounds upon which his Royal