

On receiving the above I wrote as follows:—

“719 WATERLOO STREET,

“LONDON, May 30th, 1879.

“To the Rt. Rev. A. SWEATMAN, D.D.,

“*Bishop of Toronto.*

“MY DEAR BISHOP,—I am obliged to you for your letter of the 29th inst., just received ; but must ask you to allow me to call your attention to one sentence in your letter which does not, I think, clearly explain the matter as it took place. You say ‘while my own interpretation of the Archdeacon’s conduct in allowing judgment to pass on Dr. Schulte, with his tacit consent, though consciously implicated in the action condemned must remain unchanged.’ I would recall to your recollection that the Council, as a body, did not formally pass any judgment on Dr. Schulte. Individuals gave their views thus : After the regular college business had been disposed of, the Bishop addressed us in reference to the letter signed ‘Churchwoman,’ stating that Dr. Schulte acknowledged that it was written by Mrs. Schulte, and that he (Dr. Schulte) had, by the advice of yourself, Canon Innes and V. Cronyn, written a letter of apology, *which the Bishop read to us.* The Bishop stated that he was willing to accept the apology if its phraseology was in some respects altered and he mentioned the expressions with which he was not satisfied and seemed to put a meaning upon them which, I thought, Dr. Schulte had not intended. I then said that Dr. Schulte, being a foreigner, did not perhaps use English words exactly as we did. Canon Innes and you spoke strongly on the matter, and Archdeacon Sandys and V. Cronyn made a few remarks, the former saying that he agreed with me and thought the letter should be construed with reference to the fact, that Dr. Schulte was a foreigner. Neither Dean Boomer nor Archdeacon Elwood made any remarks, nor did I join further in the discussion. Not a word was said about expulsion, no vote of censure was proposed or suggested, but it was agreed that a committee consisting of Canon Innes and V. Cronyn (by whose advice the letter of apology was written) should wait upon Dr. Schulte and get him to change the wording of those expressions to which the Bishop objected, and to this committee were added Archdeacon Elwood and myself.

“Under these circumstances how can I be charged with ‘allowing judgment to pass,’ there was no judgment passed by the