admitted at the time that he was the purchaser.

## Judgment for plaintiff.

Hague & Hague, for the plaintiff. G. F. Cooke, for the defendant. (F. H.)

## APPEAL REGISTER-MONTREAL.

Saturday, January 15.

McKinnon & Keroack.—Petition that cause be heard by privilege.—Granted, the appellant being in jail under capias.

Canadian Pacific Railway Co. & McRae.-Motion to dismiss appeal, the judgment appealed from not being final.-Granted. Motion of appellants for leave to appeal, granted.

Monday, January 17.

Morris v. Cassils et al.—Heard on motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment.—C. A. V.

Cantin & La Banque d'Hochelaga, & Fair.— Motion that the proceedings in this case be suspended until similar causes between the same parties be ready for hearing.—C. A. V.

Ex parte Hoke.—Petition for habeas corpus. —Heard on preliminary objection, that a similar application had already been made to two of the judges of the Court in Chambers, and had been rejected.—C. A. V.

McKinnon & Keroack.—Heard on merits. —C. A. V.

Moss & La Banque de St. Jean.-Hearing commenced.

Tuesday, January 18.

Cantin & La Banque d'Hochelaga. - Case postponed until Monday next.

Morris v. Cassils et al.-Motion of Cassils for leave to appeal rejected.

Ex parte Hoke.—Preliminary objection rejected; writ of habeas corpus ordered to issue.

Wilson & Globensky.— Appeal dismissed, the appellant not having proceeded.

Astor & Rose.—Motion for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment rejected.

Moss & La Banque de St. Jean.—Hearing on meríts concluded.—C. A. V.

Beaudry & Dunlop.—Heard on merits. (). A. V.

McDonald & Canada Investment & Agency Co.—Heard on merits.—C. A. V. Allan & Pratt.-Part heard on merits.

Wednesday, January 19.

Brewster & Mongeon.—Judgment reversed. Leclaire & Dessaint.—Judgment confirmed. Reinhardt & Davidson.—Judgment confirmed.

Beaudry & Courcelles Chevalier.—Motion for substitution granted by consent.

Ross et al. & Fontaine, and three other respondents.—Heard on motions for leave to appeal from interlocutory judgment.—C. A.V.

Ross et al. & Brulé.—Heard on motion for leave to appeal from judgment dismissing opposition.—C. A. V.

Ex parte Hoke.—Part heard on petition for habeas corpus.

## Thursday, January 20.

Picault & Guyon Lemoine. — Motion for dismissal of appeal.—Rayée, the parties not being present.

Ex parte Hoke.— Hearing on petition for habeas corpus concluded.—C.A.V.

Allan & Pratt.-Hearing on merits concluded.-C.A.V.

Webster & Dufresne.-Two appeals, 125 and 60. Heard de novo.-C.A.V.

Cie. de Navigation de Longueuil & Cité de Montréal, & Taillon, Atty.-Gen.-Part heard on merits.

## Friday, January 21.

Ross & Fontaine, Locke, Mayrand, and Foucher.— Motions for leave to appeal in four cases, granted.

Ross & Brulé.-Motion for leave to appeal. granted.

Cleveland & Exchange Bank.—Judgment reversed.

Normandin & Berthiaume. — Judgment

Normandin & Lachambre. — Judgmon<sup>t</sup> confirmed.

Hutchinson & Ingram. — Judgment confirmed.

Papineau & La Corporation de Notre Dame de Bonsecours. — Judgment confirmed, Tessier, J., diss.

Cie. de Navigation de Longueuil & Cité de Montréal & Taillon.—Hearing on merits concluded.—C.A.V.

Griffin & Morrill.-Heard de novo.-C.A.V.