

# SUPERANNUATION CLAUSES DROPPED

Civil Service Act, Will Pass  
Without Opposition on  
Part of Liberals

## MANY BILLS ARE ADVANCED

Numerous Measures Were  
Dealt With at Yesterday's Session

(From Friday's Daily)

The government has withdrawn the clauses of the civil service bill relating to superannuation. Hon. Dr. Young in committee on the bill at last night's session of the legislature moved to strike the only contentious measure which remained upon the order paper was so changed that all opposition will be dropped. The house will therefore be probably able to pass the bill on Saturday.

The action of the government was due to the evident intention of the Liberals to block the bill in its present stage. The measure could not have been finally enacted without lengthening the session by at least a week. The measure relating to superannuation clauses was said to be the most complete, the broadest and most comprehensive of any legislation of such nature introduced.

The supply bill was given third reading. Some five bills introduced by the Socialist members were negatived on second reading.

Upon the division on the second reading of the bill providing for an eight-hour day for workers in shipbuilding yards, John Jardine voted in favor of the measure. He had previously condemned the measure in that it discriminated against particular industries.

The bill consolidating and amending the law relating to elections and elections in municipalities was reported and given third reading. The clause defining "householder" stands.

Considerable routine business was transacted, the house adjourned at 2 o'clock this morning.

The speaker took the chair at 2:30 o'clock.

Prayers by Rev. A. J. Stanley A.R.D.

**Read Third Time.**  
The bill to enable the city of Nelson to borrow \$25,000 for electric light and power purposes was read the third time and passed.

**Factories Act.**  
The house went into committee on the bill for the protection of persons employed in factories.

On section 4 Mr. Hawthorthwaite moved that sub-section A, which relates to the employment of children, be struck out.

Mr. McPhillips remarked that children were allowed to be employed in the fruit canneries of Ontario, and it might well be argued that the fish canning industry of this province should be placed in the same category. He had been rather inclined to exclude this industry from the operations of the act, but he had nevertheless decided to include it.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: Instead of being drastic, it is only ordinary, common, humane legislation, which ought to be enacted the wide-world over. And further, to the amendment is concerned, these are the very institutions which are responsible for bringing into this country hordes of Japanese and Chinese, and for driving white labor out of this occupation. And not content with this, they now want to exploit the labor of little children.

Hon. Mr. Bowser: Children have been so employed for years.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: Well, if so, the sooner the practice is stopped the better.

In answer to Mr. Oliver, Hon. Mr. Bowser stated that if the question came before the courts the schedule which gives the list of the factories to which the measure applies, would be relied upon in interpretation, showing the intentions of the legislature.

Mr. McPhillips: It would be a monstrous thing to apply the act to industries which are not mentioned in the schedule.

Mr. Brewster (Albani): While no intention exists on the part of the fish canning companies to exploit the labor of children, it must be remembered that Indian girls are mature women at the age of fourteen. While these companies have no objection to inspection they are opposed to interference with the hours of labor.

The amendment was lost on division.

**Canneries Exempted.**  
On motion of Mr. Hayward (Cowichan) the following amendment was adopted:

No children shall be employed in factories except in the business of canning fish and the work incidental thereto, and fruit packing, as provided by section 6.

While the following clause was inserted as section 6 of the act:

To insert a new section, to be numbered 5a:

"Children may be employed in the business of canning or curing fish or fruit packing, but not during the time of the several salmon runs or runs of other classes of fish. Provided further, that the limitations upon the hours of labor, the nature of commencement and cessation of work as set forth in this act, shall not be binding upon the employers of any child, young man or woman, employed within the time or times aforesaid, but in all other respects this act shall be applicable to the employers of labor in the business of fish canning or curing, and the canneries operated in connection with any such business."

C. W. Mackenzie (Chilhowick) said that interference of this sort would simply mean putting the fruitgrowers out of business.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: If it depends upon labor for success, it deserves to be put out of business.

# Back Watch The big black plug.

Chewing Tobacco

2270

or other mechanical power, to prohibit work being carried on in any laundry before the hour of 7 a. m. and after the hour of 5 p. m.

And explained that the representation of the laundry industry were content to accept the decision.

The amendment was lost on division. The committee rose and reported the bill.

**Dangerous Employment.**  
On the resumption of the debate on the second reading of Mr. Hawthorthwaite's bill to regulate employment in dangerous industries.

Hon. Mr. McPhillips: Speaker, I propose to detain the house but very short time with the expression of my views on the proposed legislation. It is simply a matter of time to explain that heretofore in connection with the regulation of the hours of labor in the underground workings of mines, it has been the custom to vote in favor of the principles which were advocated by the hon. member for Nanaimo, but now, sir, he proposes to extend this regulation much further than has ever hitherto been suggested by any hon. member of this house.

Now, sir, the first thing that strikes me in connection with this matter is that in the event of this bill becoming law, it simply means that every time being at least several hundred persons, principally Asiatics, who are at present engaged in the occupations, which are mentioned in the bill, will be compelled to seek employment elsewhere.

And my hon. friend who takes very big ground, indeed, on this question is simply trying to take a step which will drive these people from their own present occupations, and set up additional competition in other lines of industry within this province. But, sir, if this were not the case, even if that condition of affairs would not obtain, I know from my limited experience, and this view is particularly true as regards Asiatics, it will be quite an easy matter indeed for these persons to school themselves sufficiently in the English language to come within the purview of the measure, so that, after all, I think, sir, my hon. friend is not at all wrongly advised, when I tell him that it would not be at all a difficult matter, and in spite of this barrier, which he is endeavoring to raise against these persons, for these very persons to find a very easy way to conform to the necessary qualifications.

**Would Be Interference.**  
There is another aspect of this case which I think should be brought to the committee, and this lies in the fact that by the adoption of this legislation this parliament would be going to a very considerable extent the way of interfering with the arrangements that ordinarily exist between employers and employees.

And the most profitable result as between the employer and the employee, may only be attained when the relations which exist between these people are friendly and amicable.

But, sir, if it is serious proposals, all times in this country to bring in the offices of the parliament of British Columbia in the direction of adjusting relations of kind, I am confident that very soon there would be created a condition of affairs in this country that would by no means work to the profit of either of the workmen or of the employer.

And, sir, there is another point which must commend itself to this legislature, that as regards laws of industry, other words, that British Columbia is in competition with a large market, and moreover is subject to very strong competition indeed. But, sir, if it were to become the law of Canada, that in all the provinces of the Dominion, labor should be confined to eight hours, that objection might no longer apply, and perhaps there would be nothing in the nature of which I now propose to advance. But, sir, the law as it stands, and very inimical to the interests of this province.

**Opposes the Bill.**  
I therefore, sir, oppose the bill of my hon. friend on these grounds:

First, because it would be a very unfair and unjust measure, inasmuch as it would be a restriction on an important line of industry in this province; in the next place, because of the very easy fashion in which these people can be made to comply with its conditions, and lastly, because of the very unfair competitive conditions which its existence would create with the other provinces of the Dominion, and for these reasons I would urge my hon. friend to withdraw the measure further before he finally decides to introduce this legislation by this parliament.

might say, Mr. Speaker, that I received this bill from the Trades and Labor Council of the city of Victoria, and I know from a personal of their ratification that while these gentlemen place themselves emphatically on record in favor of an eight-hour day, they do not at the present time, and of those who live in that section of the province.

Can moreover assure him that the Minister of Mines has also a care for aged miners, and I shall at all times be only too happy to take into consideration any suggestions that may be pertinent to the situation. (Hear, hear.)

I well say, for the information of the house, it is quite true that the home for the aged at Kamloops is at the present time taxed to its limit; but I am advised by the provincial secretary that he has now under consideration the enlargement of this institution. (Hear, hear.)

I repeat, sir, that I take the point of order that a private member cannot introduce legislation, and while in the next place, even if this could be done, it means a drastic change which would impose on the government a burden which would need considerable thought, the part of the executive, before the object in view could be properly carried into effect.

**Premier Takes Objection.**  
Hon. Mr. McBride: I take objection to my hon. friend's motion on the ground that it is only competent for members of the ministry alone to introduce such legislation; but I will at once say that I must very highly commend the interest which my hon. friend, the leader of the opposition, takes in this matter, representing as he does a very important mining constituency in this province, as well as in the general well-being of his constituents, and of those who live in that section of the province.

Can moreover assure him that the Minister of Mines has also a care for aged miners, and I shall at all times be only too happy to take into consideration any suggestions that may be pertinent to the situation. (Hear, hear.)

I well say, for the information of the house, it is quite true that the home for the aged at Kamloops is at the present time taxed to its limit; but I am advised by the provincial secretary that he has now under consideration the enlargement of this institution. (Hear, hear.)

I repeat, sir, that I take the point of order that a private member cannot introduce legislation, and while in the next place, even if this could be done, it means a drastic change which would impose on the government a burden which would need considerable thought, the part of the executive, before the object in view could be properly carried into effect.

**On Different Ground.**  
Mr. Macdonald, Rossland: My objection, sir, to this bill is taken on a very different ground, for I have not the slightest doubt that if passed this house it would be without any effect whatever.

Some years ago similar legislation was adopted by this house, prohibiting the employment of certain persons, including the Chinese, underground in the mines. And the hon. gentleman who introduced this bill, at the highest court in the empire, which found it to be unconstitutional, because it discriminated against aliens, and consequently encroached upon the powers vested in the Dominion legislature under section 92 of the B. N. A. Union Colliery case, and to introduce

an act which still more clearly contravenes the provisions of the B. N. A. act than the act to which I have referred simply to trifle with this legislature and to trifle with the people.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: Do you hold that this bill is ultra vires?

Mr. Macdonald: I do, and most distinctly.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: But is it not the case that Chinamen were specified in that act?

Mr. Macdonald: Yes, it is; but if my hon. friend will read the decision of Lord Watson, he will find this: That the objection which was then taken was not to the inclusion of Chinamen as Chinamen, but on the other hand, as aliens, and that because of this, the legislature had no jurisdiction of the parliament of Canada, it was ultra vires. But in this further and new case, the hon. gentleman goes still further and says that no aliens shall be employed in certain employments. And so this bill falls more clearly within the scope of the decision, than the act which I have mentioned.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: The world alien does not occur anywhere in this bill.

Mr. Macdonald: I take it that this act, if it were passed, makes it unlawful to employ any person who does not either read or write some language of the province of Great Britain, and that this provision is aimed at aliens, I believe it to be so, and I do not propose to place myself in the position of a private member introducing legislation, which I believe to be ultra vires in this house.

**For White Men.**  
John McInnis (Grand Forks) held that the object of the bill to preserve British Columbia for the use and advantage of the white man.

**Within Province's Powers.**  
In answer to Mr. Hawthorthwaite, who enquired whether in his opinion the bill was within the powers of the province, Mr. Macdonald said: "The question of civil rights comes entirely within our jurisdiction. And the privy council in the case mentioned was largely influenced by the consideration of the naturalization of aliens, and the result was that they came to the conclusion that this house through the passage of this bill, would interfere with the rights of naturalization, which of course comes exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Dominion parliament."

Mr. Macdonald: I do not think that the bill is aimed at individuals, but at corporations which sent abroad to bring back large numbers of foreign workers to keep the faculty of the labor market and keep the fear of God in the employees they already have. The hon. member for Nanaimo, Mr. McPhillips, has been brought in by hundreds and left to starve. The government was now under the necessity of starting new laws.

Mr. Macdonald: "I admitted that there was an abuse, but I did not admit that the principle of the present bill was right."

The second reading of the bill was negatived, Hon. Dr. Young, W. R. Ross and John Jardine voting with the Socialists.

**Gets Second Reading.**  
The bill to amend the Municipal Clauses Act, 1897, was given second reading.

**Governing Marine Works.**  
J. H. Hawthorthwaite, Nanaimo, in moving the second reading of the bill to establish an eight-hour day in marine and shipbuilding works, stated that the bill was intended to be a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

## Will Take Matter Up

In reply to Mr. Gordon (Vancouver), Hon. Mr. McBride: I will gladly take up, during recess, the advisability of taking steps to attain the end sought by my hon. friend.

of the opposition: I think that it is a very commendable thing, but it must be approached with considerable care. I will not, however, further discuss it at present.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: But is it not the case that Chinamen were specified in that act?

Mr. Macdonald: Yes, it is; but if my hon. friend will read the decision of Lord Watson, he will find this: That the objection which was then taken was not to the inclusion of Chinamen as Chinamen, but on the other hand, as aliens, and that because of this, the legislature had no jurisdiction of the parliament of Canada, it was ultra vires. But in this further and new case, the hon. gentleman goes still further and says that no aliens shall be employed in certain employments. And so this bill falls more clearly within the scope of the decision, than the act which I have mentioned.

Mr. Hawthorthwaite: The world alien does not occur anywhere in this bill.

Mr. Macdonald: I take it that this act, if it were passed, makes it unlawful to employ any person who does not either read or write some language of the province of Great Britain, and that this provision is aimed at aliens, I believe it to be so, and I do not propose to place myself in the position of a private member introducing legislation, which I believe to be ultra vires in this house.

**For White Men.**  
John McInnis (Grand Forks) held that the object of the bill to preserve British Columbia for the use and advantage of the white man.

**Within Province's Powers.**  
In answer to Mr. Hawthorthwaite, who enquired whether in his opinion the bill was within the powers of the province, Mr. Macdonald said: "The question of civil rights comes entirely within our jurisdiction. And the privy council in the case mentioned was largely influenced by the consideration of the naturalization of aliens, and the result was that they came to the conclusion that this house through the passage of this bill, would interfere with the rights of naturalization, which of course comes exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Dominion parliament."

Mr. Macdonald: I do not think that the bill is aimed at individuals, but at corporations which sent abroad to bring back large numbers of foreign workers to keep the faculty of the labor market and keep the fear of God in the employees they already have. The hon. member for Nanaimo, Mr. McPhillips, has been brought in by hundreds and left to starve. The government was now under the necessity of starting new laws.

Mr. Macdonald: "I admitted that there was an abuse, but I did not admit that the principle of the present bill was right."

The second reading of the bill was negatived, Hon. Dr. Young, W. R. Ross and John Jardine voting with the Socialists.

**Gets Second Reading.**  
The bill to amend the Municipal Clauses Act, 1897, was given second reading.

**Governing Marine Works.**  
J. H. Hawthorthwaite, Nanaimo, in moving the second reading of the bill to establish an eight-hour day in marine and shipbuilding works, stated that the bill was intended to be a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

Hon. Mr. McBride: I would simply observe that the bill is a measure to protect the workers in this industry, who many men were out of work.

"In one of these establishments the eight-hour day was in force, and the workers were better off than the others. After having the other workers should not adopt the same regulation."

the granting of such wide powers to municipalities, and to the taking away of that power which they expressly desired to keep within the control of the legislature.

Mr. Oliver: I think that these powers consisted of the people's representatives, and were just as competent as members of parliament to legislate.

A. E. McPhillips (Island) held that the passage of such a provision meant the very reversal of the principles upon which municipal government was based, and would make of a city council a petty parliament.

Dr. G. A. B. Hall (Nelson) observed that such legislation existed in Ontario.

Mr. McPhillips: I do not agree with the hon. gentleman, but even so, the conditions here are very different. The motion to strike out was carried on division.

**Underground Wires.**  
Hon. Mr. Bowser moved to strike out sub-section (42b) of section 4 of the bill, and to insert the following in lieu thereof:

"(42b) To compel existing telephone, electric light or power companies, whether operating under special or private acts or otherwise, to replace all or any existing wires and means of transmitting electrical current for power, light, heat or energy under ground, the municipality providing suitable distribution points in each block with right of access thereto and right of distribution therefrom across the streets, lanes and alleys, and the cost of removal and replacing of any such means of transmission, due consideration being given to the difference in the cost of maintenance of the two systems for a period not exceeding fifteen years, such amount to be ascertained prior to the commencement of the work and in cases of dispute to be settled by the arbitrator of the Arbitration Act. The cost of any such work may be imposed upon the property benefited, proceedings to be taken as in and under the provisions under section 25 and sub-sections of this act. The assent of the electors shall, in manner provided by section 75 of this act, be and hereby declared to be necessary to the validity of any bylaw to be passed under the provisions of this sub-section."

Hon. Mr. Bowser explained that the inclusion in the bill of the provision, which he proposed to eliminate, was due to peculiar conditions, that existed in the city of Victoria.

**The B. C. Telephone Company.**  
The B. C. Telephone Company had obtained certain powers through legislation in 1894, and later on, while its charter ran for 50 years, but the section relating to the vesting of rights in the company, which had been made by the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord. The shareholders in this company had invested a great deal of capital in the enterprise, but the city, which had been the first instance been only too willing to grant these privileges for the period of 50 years.

The agreement, which had been made between the company and the shareholders, had a right to produce legislation, which would interfere with that contract, unless both parties were in perfect accord.