TICHBORNE. The Trial Continued.

ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTIETH
DAY.
On Tuesday, Oct. 21, Mr. Bulpitt, the ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTIETH DAY.

On Tuesday, Oct. 21, Mr. Bulpitt, the Winchester banker, and a magistrate for Hants, was examined. In reply to Dr. Kenesily he said: I knew Roger Charles Tichborne. I see the defendant in court. Tichborne. I see the defendant in court. The brunary, 1867, Gofford paid me a visit. I did not communicate in any way my conversation with him to the ary way my conversation with him to the ary way my conversation with him to the dinner at the Grovenor hetel in June of the same of the lighter, but he speaker on the dinner at the Grovenor hetel in June of the same of the June of the same year. On that concasion Godford paid was got up.

Merchant Tichborne with all his other lotters. These were all the questions put to witness.

Cross-examined by Mr. Hawkins: Were you really ill on Friday?—I was not very lill.—Was that your reasons for not coming as a witness?—Solely. I was suffering from diarrheas, and did not comider; task for me to appear in court. I left the court immediately after it broke up.—Did you see defendant after wards?—I do not think I did I went to the solicitors, and it defendant was there I had a conversation with him; but I do not recollect any conversation. Did you remonstrate against being put in the box?—I said that in my opinion my evidence was weak compared with the evidence given in court.—Did you ever in your life up to the court of the c

borne more than four or five times 1—I did not. I never saw him except in the hunting-field, and never exchanged a word with him. I saw the defendant arriving 1857. I get the defendant of the defendant of the same belonging to the Missie, quarant later of March, but that was a mistate, and I afterwards corrected it. I saw the defendant arrival of the same of the s

did not some it. I think it was one of the earliest questions put, and before Gosford alluded to the sealed packet. I won't be sure if he used both expression; but I think it was "sealed packet." I think the 'sealed packet. I think the 'sealed packet. I think the 'sealed packet. The Gostord and the one of the packet is perfectly stating it was a brown paper parcel. Gosford add the 'sealed packet. The Gostor' if he recollected leaving him at Sout. Sample, "I the gostor' if he recollected leaving him at Sout. Sample, "I the gostor' if he recollected leaving him at Sout. Sample, "I the gostor' is regist. I the sealed packet. I think the question, "Do you remember leave," I mind a brown paper parcel containing a letter?" and that gave rise to the sealed packet. I think the wrong in saying that the defens, ant first mentioned the parcel. I think the word in saying that the defens, and that gave rise to the sealed packet. I think the sout of the interviewe at the Gostor' data and the contents of a packet he left with him before Roger went abroad, and have you the think the word of the packet. He was aware that any time July, 1867, the defendant was to be cross-examined in Chan-cory, and also Mr. Gosford. I think I mily gested that Gosford should be cross-examined in Chan-cory, and also Mr. Gosford. I think I said to August. Mr. and he head received it from the defendant. He read the contents to me, and at first I heatisted to initial it because it was a delicate matter, with which I did not wish to have anything to 'o'. He did not tell me that it was the seled packet. The document speake, said he had received it from the defendant. He read the contents to me, and at first I heatisted to initial it because it was a delicate matter with which I did not wish to have anything to 'o'. He did not tell me that it was the seled packet. The document speake packet, so far as I knew, from the Goston of Mr. Holmes's and the contents of the sea

August. "I migh. have mentioned the contents of its ocontents." I have no recollection of communicating with my life why life which was on asistined with his identity what life which have from Gosford in a day or two the law must take its course. The dott was of long standing; and I put the matter into the law why life why life which was not a life which which why life which life which life which was not life which whic