


Masson W T 1 Jan 02
Exp Farm
ORY AND PRACTICE ...
PROVE THE SUPERIORITY OF THE

U. S. CREAM SEPARATOR

IN THEORY, some of the reasons are as follows:



FRAME

One solid piece with broad base which gives the machine great stability. Not being in two pieces, has no screws or bolts to wear loose and rattle and cause the machine to be unsteady.

GEARS

The gears are entirely enclosed within the frame, thus keeping them free from dust and dirt that would otherwise get into them and cause friction and wear, and removes all danger of anything getting into them and breaking them, or of the operator or anyone else getting caught in them and injured. The lower gear runs in oil, which increases the ease of operation as well as the life of the machine.

BOWL

The bowl has only two parts inside, but they are so arranged as to divide the bowl into three compartments, each of which is a separator in itself, making it practically three separators in one, a feature possessed by no other make, and to which is due its remarkable clean separation.

The few parts also mean that much time and labor is saved in cleaning, and that it is much less liable to get out of balance and need repairing than those with numerous and complicated parts inside.

IN PRACTICE, it is daily proving the correctness of our theory that it is the

Cleanest Skimming, Most Substantial, Safest
and Most Durable Separator Made

as testified to by pleased users all over the country. Read what some of them say:

By All Means Buy the U. S. It Saves All the Cream

WAYS MILL, P. Q., April 26, 1901.

It gives me much pleasure to bear testimony to the merits of the Improved U. S. Separator. I am an inventor and machinist of nearly forty years' experience, and to me the more simple a machine is and does the required work, the more perfect it is, and in that respect I do not see how one could get a more perfect machine than the Improved U. S. Separator. I can see nothing to get out of order until the machine is worn out, which will take a good many years if the machine is kept properly oiled. This is the third season that mine has been run and all the expense that has been laid out on it has been for oil.

It is easy and quick to wash, taking only from 7 to 8 minutes to wash and wipe dry. If properly flushed after skimming, no cream will be left in bowl.

The machine is easy to run and skims clean. Have made several tests of the skim-milk with the Babcock test, and only a trace of butter fat found—not enough to measure.

Before putting in the U. S. I had been using shallow pans. With the U. S. Separator I made a pound of butter more a week per cow than by setting the milk in pans.

My advice to all those who have cows, and have use for skim-milk and cream is to put in a separator, and by all means put in the Improved U. S. Separator, and they will make no mistake, as it saves all the cream, and is always in working order.

C. C. COLEMAN.

The U. S. the Perfection of Cream Separators

MIDDLEBURY, MAN., CAN., April 17, 1901.

The No. 7 Improved U. S. Separator I purchased is giving perfect satisfaction. As a cream separator I consider it as near perfection as anything can be, in fact there is no butter fat left in the skim-milk. One great advantage it has over several other makes of separators is the few parts inside the bowl, and the ease with which it can be cleaned. I find that it will skim fully as much as claimed for it. It is a machine made to last, being very strongly and simply made, all running parts being enclosed, which not only protects it from dust and dirt, but renders it impossible for any accident to happen, such as getting wound on the shaft or caught in the gearing. It is also light running, which is a great consideration in a cream separator.

H. O. AYEAST,
Breeder of Shorthorn Cattle.

U. S. Used 8 Years without Repairs

WALES, ONT., March 22, 1901.

The Improved U. S. Separator is a wonderful machine. Have run the one I have every day for eight years, and have had no repairs until now. One of my neighbors purchased a DeLaval a year after I bought mine, and has not run one-half as much milk as I have, and they have paid out \$25.00 for repairs.

J. R. MANNING.

Preferred the U. S. Because it was Better

CLINTON, ONT., June 5, 1901.

I have been using a No. 6 U. S. Separator for three weeks, and am well pleased with it so far. I believe it to be a very close skimmer, and it appears to be very durable. We had another machine on trial, but preferred the U. S.

ROBERT GIBBINGS.

If interested write for Illustrated Catalogues, containing hundreds more similar letters.

VERMONT FARM MACHINE CO., BELLOWS FALLS
VT.