Ban S.A. diplomats

The South African ambassador to Canada, Henri-de Klerk, cancelled his December Speaking engagement at the University of Alberta. However, he is expected to fulfill his engagement sometime in the new year. Antiapartheid activists are incensed that the ambassador should be allowed to use "the University as a forum for apartheid views." A petition is currently circulating calling for a ban on all representatives of the white supremacts South African government from talking on campus.

No doubt students will feed.

campus. No doubt students will feel uncomfortable with such a proposal. Free speech, after all, is a fundamental com-ponent of our democracy, a privilege shared in theory by one and all. However, to cite free speech as justification for South Affician dignitaries such as de Klerk talking on campus is at best morally foolhardy.

Censorship, like racism, is ethically repulsive, but we Censorship, like racism, is ethically repulsive, but we can rationalize limiting views disseminated in public. James Keegstra and Ernst Zundel were recently found quilty by the Canadian courts of spreading hatred against Jews. Both were punished, and justifiably curbed from spreading their bigoted views. Yet here we are at the U of A inviting Henri de Klerk to stand up on this campus and ether than the standard of the standard on the service dell us that black people in South Africa cannot have the vote because it does not fit with the racist policies of the government he represents.

government he represents.
In essence, debating the merits of free speech versus banning South African diplomats at the U of A simply detracts from the repugnance of apartheid. Apartheid will be dismantled one day: that is inevitable. But it will take a concentrate effort from forces both inside South Africa and out. Students at the U of A can contribute to the process by undermining the political credibility of the South 'African government by denouncing, its raist policies. Refusing South African digniances access to our campus is also part of that process.

Ref Campbell

Rod Campbell





diror-in-Chief: RHODERICK (ROD) I CAMPBELL Managing Editor: SHERR RTCHE was Editors: ROBERT RTCHE was Editors: ROBERT AFPANCHIK REN BOSMAN Enterialment Editor: ELANGLOSTRY Photo Editor: BRUCE GARDANE Production Editor: JUANTA SPEARS (Crulation Manager: CURTIS BEAVEROD Media Supervisor: MARGIRET TILRIOE—WEST Advertisin; TOM WRIGHT: TOM WRIGHT:

CONTRIBUTORS: RANDAL SMATHERS, ERIC BAICH, KEITH ZUKIWSKI, ROB GALBRAITH, DARREN O'DONNELL STEPHEN A NOBLE, JONATHAN WISEMAN, ROSS GRAY, DOUG SMITH

Letters to the Editor are encouraged and always welcome. If you have a comment which would be of interest to the students of the trivereity, please on the related to send in it. All we require is your name, the control of the student ID if you are a student. We will not print letters mixing any critical student ID if you are a student. We will not print letters mixing any are student in the print of print letters mixing any critical students. We will not print letters mixing the to longer them there hundred words. Mail or deliver your letters to Room 282 SUIB, or drop them at any SU information booth.

The Gateway is the newspaper of the University of Alberta students. Contents are the responsibility of the Editor-in-Chief. Alberta students. Contents are the responsibility of the Editor-in-Chief. Alberta of the Verse of the Gateway. Copy deadlines are 11 am. Mondays and Wednesdays. Newsproom. Fm. 282 (ph. 432-5168). All and Wednesdays. Newsproom. Fm. 282 (ph. 432-5168). All chief principles of the Gateway are for sale. Call the photodinest of the Gateway are for sale. Call the photodinest of the Gateway are for sale. Call the photodinest of the Gateway are for sale. Call the photodinest of the Gateway are for sale. Call the photodinest of the Gateway are for sale. Call the Gateway are for sale. Call the Call the



The old in the new

After all the furor over the Varscona I would like to congratulate Canada Trust for incorporating some of the features of the old building into the new. I only wish somebody had shown the Studio 82 site the same respect.

Isabelle Foord

Cartoon shameful

Re: Nicaragua Cartoon (p. 5, Gateway, Dec. 3/87)

Dec. 3787)
It is a pity that this Gateway edition (3
Dec. 87) was the last of the term, so that
the cartoon left a nasty impression over
the Chrismas break.
This is a shameful use of U.S. style-

tactics, employed in their propagation of continued psychological and military aggression against the people of Nicar-

We all know that Joe Clark is misinformed, but University students of today should not be fed lies: It is an insult to their intelligence.

Canadian Aid money — what little there is — is spent on projects to rebuild this country, such as repairs to farm machinery, agricultural advice, potable water projects

Groups such as Tools for Peace sent material donations from the people of Canada. Hammers, saws, rubber boots, medical supplies are essentials which they cannot afford to buy. Nor can they afford to buy adequate means to defend

themselves against the vast w.ir. ma-chinery of Reagan and his ilk. Thank goodness they have received at least a minimal quantity of weapons from the Soviets so they can have some defense capability. Combined with a strong moral defense.

We ought to be pressuring our government to provide more aid, and condemn the U.S. intervention in the affairs of Nicaraguans striving for peace, instead of inflicting more blows.

No fair deal

Re: Free Trade Seminar hosted by IRSS, Wed. Dec. 2/87 I must give full credit to Sheila Copps on her presentation against the Mul-roney Free Trade Initiative.

Basically Brian Mulroney gives, gives, gives but does not take since he wants to play Santa Claus to give the elec-torate the feeling that he is doing something right. For example, what will be the definition of a subsidy and how be the definition of a sub-sidy and how it will affect our trade relations in agriculture, fisheries and other trading agrass Well. Delieve vince Whot staking his political reputation or free Trade, he will be defeated badly at hopolist Mulroney is not assertive, firm, nor strong. He gave what the U.S. wanted on Free Trade Accord and got no clear promise what he would get in roturn. Overall, Mulroney has not made any positive statement concerning ma-

Note: I am in favour of a free and Fair trade deal.

Dave Yadallee

Do you feel like really looking into things?



Write feature stories for the Gateway.

CBVR Radio

We need political cartoonists! If you are funny and talented, contact the Managing Editor at The Gateway.







