

## TRANSMISSION OF POWER BY BELTS.\*

By GEO. FOWLER.

VENTURE to say that there are few appliances so much abused and neglected as the one under consideration, namely, the old and tried friend of all shops and factories, the belt. We find it stretched out of all resemblance to its former self. We see it laced in a slipshod manner with perhaps half the lace holes torn out, giving opportunity for the belt to catch against the fingers of the shifter and finally tear out and come down on somebody's head. When we go into a shop or factory and see the belts in the condition described, we are pretty sure to find a shop where the time of attending to the shafting, hot bearings and attendant ills would make a big item in the accounts if it was counted on the list of running expenses. But this kind of a shop never keeps much account anyway, and guesses at the charges to be made for work, with the result of losing money.

It is not idle capital to have belts running slack and doing less work than they might possibly be made to do, for it is much better to have the capital invested in this way than to have delays, cut boxes, and the annoyance that follows in the wake of all unsatisfactory machinery and parts in the whole establishment. It is a pleasure to see a nicely running belt, to go into an engine room and see the great driving belt that is running the whole of a great plant and doing it without apparent effort, the belt running so loose as to give a sag to the upper half, and the lower half running straight as a line. This is a sure sign that the journals are running cool and everything is going along nicely.

I do not wish it understood that everything in this paper is original with me; on the contrary, some of it is borrowed from the best engineering practice in the country. I have been very generously assisted by the several belt manufacturing companies, who gave me good hints on the use of belting. I have also studied such works as Morin's, Cooper's, Nicholson's, Thurston's, and out of these I have taken and adopted several valuable rules and formula.

There are few engineers who have not been frequently in want of information or readily applicable formulæ, upon which they could place reliance, giving the power which, under given conditions and velocity, is transmitted by belts without unusual strain or wear, therefore I believe it is well to study the experiments which are given in the works of the different authors, and acknowledge and adopt formula therefrom, and apply it to daily use. But in doing so we must be careful, because, notwithstanding the existence of this mathematical and experimental information, the numerous tables that have been given by mechanical engineers appear to have had only that kind of a basis which has come from guessing that an engine, or a machine, either the driving or the driven, with a belt of given width, was producing or requiring some quantity of power which might be expressed in foot-pounds generally without any stated arc of pulley contact. For instance, one writer says that a single leather belt one inch wide, running 1000 feet per minute, will transmit .76 horse power; another asserts .93 horse power; another claims one horse power; another makes out 1.33, and still another figures it out to be  $1\frac{3}{4}$ , and so on, thus producing conflicting testimony.

The rule which I have acknowledged and adopted may be thus expressed: An ordinary single leather belt one inch wide, with a velocity of 600 feet per minute, will transmit one horse power. After an examination of different text books, I find that General Morin's data gives us the clue to the truth of this rule, and also that it is supported by other good authority. Morin says: "Belts which are designed for continuous service may be made to bear a tension of .551 lbs. per .00155 square inches of section, which enables us to determine the breadth according to the thickness." This is equal to 355 lbs. per square inch of belt leather, and is also equal to about one-tenth of the breaking strength of the same as given by Mr. Rankine and other good authorities. Cooper in his works says if we substitute 330 lbs. for 355 lbs. per square inch, we strike the component part of a horse-power and deduce the following: one square inch of belt leather at a velocity of 100 feet per minute will transmit one horse power with safety, and from these data get the rule: The denomination of the fraction which expresses the thickness of the belt in inches, gives the velocity in hundreds of feet per minute at which each inch of width will transmit one horse-power; and as the ordinary thickness of a single leather belt is generally about  $\frac{1}{8}$  of an inch, we simply multiply the denominator of this fraction by 100 and get the 600 feet at which a single strap one inch wide should run to transmit one horse power.

No rules can be given that will apply to all cases—circumstances and conditions must and will modify them. Belts, for instance, for machines which are frequently stopped and started, and shifting belts, must be wider to stand the wear and tear

and to overcome the starting friction, than belts which run steadily and continuously. The breaking strength per inch width of belts when made from good ox hide, well tanned, has been determined as follows:

In the solid leather.....	675 lbs.
At the rivet holes of splices.....	362 "
At the lace holes.....	210 "

Engineers are often required by their employers to put up new shafting, pulleys and belts for the purpose of doing an additional amount of work which may be stated in horse power, and the matter of proper dimension of same, such as size of shaft, diameter and speed of pulley, width of belt, etc., are left to the judgment of the engineer. I have no doubt that a majority of the members of this association are perfectly competent to oversee such work, but to those whose practice along this line has not been very extended, and who may be called upon at any time to take such matters in hand, I offer the following information, which is taken from standard works and may be relied on for everyday use:

The safe working tension is assumed to be 55 lbs. per inch of width, which is equal to a velocity of about 50 square feet per minute per horse power, which is safe practice.

Now let C = circumference in inches of pulley,

D = diameter in inches of pulley,

R = revolutions per minute,

W = width of belt in inches,

H = horse power that can be transmitted by belt.

Then, to find the horse power that a single belt can transmit, the size and speed of pulley and width being given, the formula would be:

$$\frac{C \times R \times W}{144 \times 50} = H, \text{ or } \frac{C \times R \times W}{7200} = H,$$

or we may still further simplify the process by substituting D for C and divide the constant 7200 by 3.1416, which is the proportion of circumference to diameter. The formula would then be

$$\frac{D \times R \times W}{2300} = H.$$

The transmitting efficiency of double belts of average thickness is to that of single belts as 10 is to 7, therefore for double belts the formula would be

$$\frac{D \times R \times W}{1575} = H.$$

The horse power to be transmitted, and the size and speed of the pulley being given, to find the width of belt required:

$$\text{For single belts—} \frac{H \times 2300}{D \times R} = W.$$

$$\text{For double belts—} \frac{H \times 1575}{D \times R} = W.$$

The horse power, speed of pulley, and width of belt being given, to find the diameter of pulley required:

$$\text{For single belts—} \frac{H \times 2300}{R \times W} = D.$$

$$\text{For double belts—} \frac{H \times 1575}{R \times W} = D.$$

The horse power, diameter of pulley, and width of belt being given, to find the number of revolutions required:

$$\text{For single belts—} \frac{H \times 2300}{D \times W} = R.$$

$$\text{For double belts—} \frac{H \times 1575}{D \times W} = R.$$

In the rules I have assumed that the belts are open, the pulleys of equal diameters, and the arc of contact is the semi-circumference. If, however, the pulleys are of different diameters and the arc of contact is less than the semi-circumference, the rules must be modified accordingly. The width of a belt required for any work depends on three conditions: 1st, the tension of the belt; 2nd, the size of the smaller pulley and the proportion of the surface touched by the belt; 3rd, the speed of the belt. The average strain under which leather will break has been found by many experiments to be 33,200 lbs. per square inch of cross section. In use on pulleys, belts should not be subjected to a greater strain than one-tenth their tensile strength, or about 330 lbs. to the square inch of cross section. This will be 55 lbs. average strain for every inch in width of single belt  $\frac{1}{8}$  of an inch thick. The strain allowed for all widths of belting (single or double) is in direct proportion to the thickness of the belt. This is the safe limit, for if a greater strain is attempted the belt is likely to be overworked, in which case the result will be an undue amount of stretching, tearing out at the lace holes, and damage to the joints.

The working adhesion of a belt to the pulley will be in proportion both to the number of square inches of belt contact with the surface of the smaller pulley, and also to the arc of the circumference of the pulley touched by the belt. This adhesion forms the basis of all right calculation in ascertaining the width of belt necessary to transmit a given horse power. A single belt  $\frac{1}{8}$  of an inch thick, subjected to the strain which I have

given as a safe rule (55 lbs. per inch in width) when touching  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the circumference of the pulley, will adhere  $\frac{1}{2}$  lb. per square inch of the surface contact; or if the belt touches  $\frac{1}{4}$  the circumference of the pulley, the adhesion will be  $\frac{1}{4}$  lb. per square inch of contact, and so on.

Mr. Evan Leigh, C. E., of Manchester, Eng., gives the following rule for finding the horse power that any given width of double belt is capable of driving: Multiply the number of square inches of belt contact on the smaller pulley by one-half the velocity of the belt in feet per minute and divide the product by 33,000, and the quotient will be the horse power. Mr. Leigh also gives a rule for finding the proper width of double belt for any given horse power: Multiply 33,000 by the horse power required and divide the product, first by the length of contact in inches on the smaller pulley, and again by one-half the speed of the belt, the quotient will be proper width of belt.

Now, if these rules (which the author devised some 20 years ago) can be compared with the single straps as at present used in mills, it will be found that they considerably overshoot the mark; yet single belts, being so much weaker and more liable to stretch than double ones, ought to have less strain upon them. The secret of wide double driving belts running so mysteriously long without attention will at once be seen, when it is considered that single belts are generally made to do two or three times more than they ought to do for their width and speed.

For existing establishments where it is not convenient to alter the speed of shafting or size of drums, in driving machines with single straps, the following rule will come nearer to actual practice: Multiply 33,000 by the horse power required and divide the product, first by the length in inches covered by the belt on the smaller pulley, and again divide by the speed of the belt in feet per minute; the last quotient will be the proper width for a single belt.

This, and more than this, is what single belts are made to do when driving machinery. Comparatively, then, the strong double belts, working as per first rule, have exceedingly light work, which can be done with great ease while running in a slack state. Hence their durability, and the nearer a user of belts can approach the rule given for double belts, the longer his straps will last.

To determine the strength and size of a belt, find first the amount of labor to be performed by it. This labor is its tension with velocity. If a belt passes over a 3 foot pulley which makes 100 revolutions per minute, its velocity will be:  $100 \times 3 \times 3.1416 = 942.48$  revolutions per minute. Now, if this belt is to transmit 2 horse power, its tension on the pulling side will be:  $\frac{2 \times 33,000}{942.48} = 70$  lbs. In this case it is assumed that

one side of the belt is slack; if this is not the case (which in the average of practical instances may be depended on), the tension on the following side of the belt is subtracted from the above. We here see of how much more service the horizontal belt is than the vertical one, for it increases the tension by its own weight and also by the arc of contact. In most of these cases we may neglect the width of the pulley in the calculations of friction; for the strength of the belt, if sufficient to stand the tension, makes the belt wide enough for adhesion. In all cases it is advisable to make the belt sufficiently wide. No other loss arises from too wide a belt than that of first cost. If a belt is too narrow or the arc of contact too short, the tension must be increased in order to afford sufficient adhesion to the pulleys.

Short belts are very disadvantageous and so are vertical ones; they always require more tension than either long or horizontal ones. Those which are too narrow will stretch, in consequence of which tension and adhesion are diminished.

The adhesion of leather upon smooth surfaces is greater than upon rough surfaces, and for this reason pulleys ought to be made perfectly sound and smooth. Frequently we see the surface of pulleys convex in order to prevent the running off of the belt, but this convexity must be very small, or it will diminish the adhesion.

It is of great importance that a belt should be of such a length that it will adhere to the pulley enough to prevent it from slipping without the necessity of putting on the belt so tight as to wear the bearings. Every belt, to run easy and well, should be so slack when running that the slack side should run with a wavy, undulating motion, without any tension except on the working side; and when belts will so run without slipping on the pulleys, they wear for a great length of time, for although a belt may be heavily loaded, yet if at every revolution it can have an opportunity for relief from its tension so as to contract back to its natural texture, it will prevent it from breaking by the stress upon it. But if it be kept constantly strained to its greatest extent on both sides of the pulleys it will wear but a short time and will soon be destroyed.

\*Paper read before Toronto No. 1, Canadian Association of Stationary Engineers, February 8th, 1895.