

LE DEVOIR.—But that is not all. Mr. Bourassa had commenced (January, 1910) the publication of a newspaper—Le Devoir. Its assistance, as well as that of its editor, was needed. Conservative managers were interested in securing for it a largely increased circulation. What did they do? In the issue of June 2, 1913, you may read the following:

"There came to our office one of the most prominent members of the Conservative party, carrying under his arm the Voters' List of all Eastern Ridings. He paid into our hands subscriptions to "Le Devoir" for thousands and thousands of electors. We asked nothing but the regular subscription price, deducting therefrom the ordinary commission paid to agents. We thus enjoyed the satisfaction of using Tory money to circulate the good Nationalist doctrine everywhere."

NATIONALIST LANGUAGE.—Let me give you some specimens of the language with which the Nationalists were helping Sir Robert to "beat Laurier." I take them from the Canadian Annual Review (1911), whose compiler, Mr. J. Castell Hopkins, is a good Conservative and Imperialist.

"If war were declared Canada would be compelled to take part in it, but it would not be the blood of Sir Wilfrid Laurier or that of his children which would pay the penalty of this resolution. It would be your blood and that of your children" (a).

"A premium is offered to the postmasters throughout the country—what for? For the heads of wolves? Oh, no! the premium is one of two dollars for every man enrolled in the Canadian navy to be massacred in the service of England! (b).

"English and African soldiers fell on the veldt for the glory of Chamberlain; women died of shame and misery for the grandeur of Laurier; children's entrails were cut out in the Concentration camps for the honour of the Empire" (c).

"The Empire has grown so great that England is not able herself to provide sufficient men to protect it. Therefore the Colonies are called upon to supply the men. . . . These men are liable to be sent abroad to be massacred for the glory of Laurier and Lemieux" (d).

The language of Mr. Sevigny, the present Minister of Inland Revenue ought not to be omitted. He said:

- (a) *P. 183.*
- (b) *P. 184.*
- (c) *P. 184.*
- (d) *P. 185.*