

TABLE OF CANADIAN CASES

REPORTED AND NOTED IN THIS VOLUME.

- Adamson v Adamson, 384.
Aitcheson v Mann, 371.
Allan v Corporation of Amabel, 142.
Allen, re Peacock v Allen, 242.
Attorney-General v Midland Ry. Co., 441.
- Baillie v Dickson, 384.
Bank of British North America v Walker, 421.
Bank of Commerce v Bricker, 179.
Bank of Montreal v Haffner, 369.
Barber v Morton, 165.
Barker v Leeson, 97.
Barrett v Barrett, 56.
Barsalon v Darling, 237.
Bate v Mackey, 139.
Bearinger v Thrasher, 221.
Beaty v Bryce, 443.
Beavis v. McGuire, 384.
Beckett v Johnston, 141.
Bell and Codlin, *In re*, 15.
Bell v Landon, 178.
Bell v McDougall, 282.
Bennett v Grand Trunk Railway Co., 364.
Bergeron v Lascelle, 237.
Berry v Zeiss *et al*, 54.
Bertram v Bowden, 399.
Bickford v Howard, 422.
Birkett *et al* v McGuire *et al*, 144.
Blain v Blain, 403.
Bleecker and Henderson, *Re*, 56.
Bourget v Blanchard, 423.
Bradley v Bradley, 438.
Braley v Ellis, 96.
Bright v McMurray, 207.
Brockville (Dominion) Election 1882, 324.
Bronson and the City of Ottawa, *In re*, 368.
Brown v Brown, 224.
Brown v McKenzie, 203.
Brown v Sweet, 275.
Buist v McCombe, 365.
Burke v Taylor, 10.
Burrill v Murdock, 59.
Byrne v Box, 14.
- Cameron v Campbell, 273.
Cameron v Leroux, 243.
Campan v Lucas, 42.
Canada Pacific Railway Company, *Re*, 288.
Canada Permanent Loan and Savings Co. v Foley, 403.
Canada Southern Ry. v International Bridge Co. 144.
Carlisle v Tait, 166.
Carmichael v Sharp, 263.
- Caswell v Murray, 76.
Chadbourne v Chadbourne, 426.
Chamberlain v Armstrong, 99.
Chamberlein v Clark, 121.
Chapman v Rogers, 303.
Chapman v Smith, 427.
Charles, *Re*, Fulton v Whatmough, 222.
Clarke, *Re*, 97.
Clarke v McEwan, 402.
Cleaver v North of Scotland Mortgage Co., 95.
Consolidated Bank v Wallbridge, 202.
Confederation Life Assurance Co. v O'Donnell, 238.
Cornish v Manning, 76, 143.
Court v Scott, 41.
Court v Walsh, 206.
Crathern v Bell, 10.
Creighton v Chittick *et al*, 161.
Crowther v Cawthra, 121.
Cruso v Bond, 142.
Cunningham v Canada Southern Ry., 98, 281.
- Dalby v Bell, 13.
Darling v Darling, 424.
Davidson v Maguire, 145.
Davidson v Oliver, 95.
Davis v Austin, 364.
Davis v Wickson, 167, 241.
Defoe, *Re*, 386.
Devlin v Queen's Ins. Co., 94.
Dickson v Carnegie, 96.
Dobell v The Ontario Bank, 263.
Dockstader v Phipps, 75.
Dominion, &c., Co., v Stinson, 13.
Dominion Telegraph Co. v Silver *et al*, 164.
Dowler, *Re*, 55.
Downey v Parnell, 241.
Dunbar v Meek, 41.
Dunsford v Dunsford, 14.
Dunsford, *Re*, 14.
Dumble v Dumble, 13.
Duprey, *et al* v DuCondrie, 93.
- Edgar v Magee, 277.
Elliot, *In re*, 75, 143.
Ellis v The Midland Railway, 366.
Emmett v Quinn, 274.
English v Mulholland, *In re*, 43.
Essery v Court Pride, 326.
Exchange Bank v Stinson, 40
- Farrell v Cameron, 54.
Faulds v Harper, 263.
Fee v McIlhargey, 425.
Fisken v Chamberlain, *et al*, 403.
- Fleming v Hall, 445.
Fletcher v Noble, 371.
Fletcher v Rodden, 143.
Foley v Canada Permanent L. & S. Co., 423, 444.
Forrister *et al* v McDonald, 421.
Foster v Stokes, 442.
Francis v Francis, 77.
Fraser v The Gore District Ins. Co., 327.
Freed v Orr, 56.
Fulton v Whatmough, *Re* Charles, 222.
Furlong v Carrol, 144.
- Gairdner v Gairdner, 223
Gallerno and Rochester in re, 10
Gananogue v Stimden, 139
Gill v Canada Fire and Marine Co., 178
Gillies v McConochie, 179, 401
Goodal v Smith, 39
Goodyear Rubber Co. v Foster, 277
Gowanlock v Mans, 402
Grand Junction Railway Co. v The Midland Railway Co., 302
Grant v Grant, 99
Grant v McAlpine, 10
Grant v Van Norman, 364
Grass v Austin, 367
Great Western Railway Co. v Lutz, 41
Groom v Darlington, 241
Guest v Guest, 423
Guest v Hunter, 440
Guilford v Anglo French S. S. Co. 163
- Hall, *In re*, 402
Harding v Cardiff, 281
Hargreaves v Sinclair, 278
Harris v Mudie, 275
Harvey v The G. T. R. and G. W. R. Cos., 276
Hawkins v Mahaffy, 12
Haywood v Hay, 140
Heaman v Seale, 13
Hendrie v Beattie, 74
Hewson v Macdonald, 240
Hill, *In re*, 386
Hilliard v Thurston, 180
Hodgins v Ontario Loan Co., 146
Holman v Green, 161
Hood v Martin, 242
Hopkins v Hopkins, 401
Hopkins v Smith, 425
Houston v Houston, re Houston, 222
Howes v The Dominion Ins. Co., 264