SYNOPSIS OF EXHIBITS.

Exhibits.	Date.	Subject.
V14	May 7, '83..	LETTER from Sir Hector Langevin to Simon Peters, stating, in reply to his letter of the 26th inst., that it will be impossible for him to fix a day for the desired interview. (Printed on Page 782 of the Evidence.)
W14	May 9, '83..	LETTER from Simon Peters to Sir Hector Langevin, requesting that his tender for Cross-wall will receive his consideration. (Printed on Page 782 of the Evidence.)
X14	.....	.....
Y14	1880–1883.....	STATEMENT of account with Quebec Bank in *re* Langevin Testimonial Fund. (See Page 783 of the Evidence.)
Z14	.....	SYNOPSIS of the three tenders put in for the construction of the Cross-wall. (See Page 784 of the Evidence.)
A15	.....	PLAN taken from the Quebec Harbour Commissioners' Report for 1890, showing Princess Louise Embankment and Docks. (See Page 785 of the Evidence.)
B15	Feb. 1, '87..	MEMO. of meeting of the members of the firm of Larkin, Connolly & Co., at which they agreed to pay $25,000, provided contract for dredging Quebec Harbour was awarded to them. (Printed on Page 804 of the Evidence.)
C15	Feb. 26, '85..	LETTER from O. E. Murphy to P. Larkin, stating, in reply to his letter, that "our friends" are disappointed at the way they are being treated in respect to the substitution of granite for sandstone for the Esquimalt Graving Dock. (Printed on Page 816 of the Evidence.)
D15	Jan. 14, '85..	LETTER from P. Larkin to O. E. Murphy, requesting him, in the event of having an interview with the Minister of Public Works, not to make any definite arrangements until after Nicholas Connolly's return. (Printed on Page 817 of the Evidence.)
E15	Dec. 18, '80..	LETTER from P. Larkin to Michael Connolly, communicating conversation had with Mr. Tomlinson in *re* Graving Dock, and enquiring whether Mr. Shanly has been appointed; also requesting him to urge "your friends" to take immediate action. (Printed on Page 821 of the Evidence.)
F15	Feb. 24, '85..	LETTER from P. Larkin to O. E. Murphy, stating that if, after consideration, it is found that as much can be made by using either sandstone or granite, it would be as well to adhere to the use of the former. (See Page 825 of the Evidence.)
G15	Feb. 18, '85..	LETTER from P. Larkin to O. E. Murphy, communicating contents of letter received by him from Michael Connolly in reference to the substitution of granite for sandstone, and to the lengthening of the Esquimalt Graving Dock, and requesting him to see "our friends" in this matter. (Printed on Page 825 of the Evidence.)
H15	Feb. 17, 85..	LETTER from P. Larkin to O. E. Murphy, stating that he has not heard of what is being done at Ottawa in *re* Esquimalt Graving Dock matter. (See Page 826 of the Evidence.)
I15	June 16, '81..	LETTER from P. Larkin to Michael Connolly, stating he hopes that Sir Hector will not recede from what he said about furnishing the funds for work controlled by Kinipple & Morris. (Printed on Page 828 of the Evidence.)
J15	Jan. 27, '85..	LETTER from O. E. Murphy to P. Larkin, informing him of the receipt from Ottawa of certificate of deposit, and stating that he has not heard anything from the Chief Engineer, Department Public Works, in reference to the Esquimalt Graving Dock, about which Hon. Thos. McGreevy will enquire when in Ottawa. (Printed on Page 841 of the Evidence.)