

tion to us. He says it has been the practice for thirty years; that is what we want to get rid of. We want to get new methods and new practice which will be just as good as anything in the past, not that I have any disrespect for the past, but I want to cultivate a feeling for the future. The leader of the government ought to share those feelings. He is not too old to try new methods, and although members have been on committees for years it is no reason why we should not have new members appointed.

The SPEAKER—I would remind the House that a member is only permitted to speak once on any question.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—I wish to ask a question.

The SPEAKER—The hon. member from Middlesex has the floor.

Sir GEORGE ROSS (Middlesex)—With great deference to the hon. gentleman from Victoria division (Hon. Mr. Cloran) I agree with the hon. gentleman from Calgary (Hon. Mr. Lougheed) that nothing is to be gained by postponing the question. It will be in order if any member moves to substitute names for those on the committee. If they are not prepared to take that course we ought to proceed at once and have the nominating committee struck, because it is important that the committees to be nominated should be organized at once and get down to business. The Striking Committee is just the first step in this process of organization. If any senator whose name is omitted from any committee, so far as I am concerned, if he has a good case, I am prepared to move that his name be added to the committee. It is only fair that the working members of the Senate should be represented on the committee. I do not look upon the Striking Committee as supreme; the Senate is supreme. The committee has only a recommendation to make, and we can refuse to accept it if we like. So far as I am concerned I prefer the proposal that we go on at once as so little is to be gained by discussing names or by reflections. I think the Senate had better accept the motion of the hon. leader of the House.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—I beg to move an amendment. I come from Saskatchewan, and although we have great confidence in Senator Ross from that province, he is absent and I move in amendment that the name of the Hon. Mr. Douglas, of Saskatchewan, be submitted for that of Mr. Ross. There can be no objection to increasing the number.

Hon. Mr. POWER—Yes there is. There is a limited number.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—I was going to suggest that the name of Hon. Mr. Bostock be added. I do not think it would do any injury to have one more member on that committee and British Columbia should be represented. That is the amendment I propose to move.

The SPEAKER—The amendment is out of order.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—I will strike out the last part and it will then be in order. I move that the name of Mr. Douglas be substituted for that of Hon. Mr. Ross, of Saskatchewan.

The SPEAKER—The amendment is out of order.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—In what way?

The SPEAKER—In this way: the hon. gentleman has already spoken on the main motion. He has lost the right to move an amendment at this stage.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—I will get some one else to move it.

The motion was agreed to.

Hon. Mr. DAVIS—That is railroading.

THE ADDRESS.

DEBATE CONTINUED.

The order of the day being called :

Further consideration of His Royal Highness the Governor General's speech on the occasion of the opening of the Second Session of the Twelfth Parliament, and of the motion of the Hon. Mr. Daniel, seconded by the Hon. Mr. Pope, that a humble address be presented to His Royal Highness the Governor General in answer thereto.

Hon. Mr. LOUGHEED—I should like to preface my remarks upon the address by