Of the members of the House of Lords who constituted that assembly in 1837, not one single peer now sits in that House; few, very few, of their sons do, Lord Salisbury being one of the number. Of the Queen's original Privy Council not a single member remains.

The comparison of things as they are now with what they were at the date of her accession, June 20th, 1837, shows changes that are

astounding in their scope.

The population of the United Kingdom has increased from about 25,600,000 in 1837 to about 45,000,000. The aggregate property of the people, calculated by Sir R. Giffen on the basis of the income tax figures, has been augmented from about £4,000,000,000 to more than £10,000,000,000.

In 1837 the colonial population was under 4,000,000, but it now stands over 18,000,000, of course excluding India, which has well-nigh double its native census. The total area of the British Empire, previously colossal, has grown to 10,000,000 square miles; and the subjects of Her Majesty, all directly looking to her as their Sovereign, and ruled by her benignant hand, may be estimated en bloc to-day at more than

320,000,000 of human beings.

The wars that have engaged the British troops during the Victorian era have been almost exclusively in defence of British rights or for the protection of defenceless peoples from oppression. Wars of this kind are always justifiable, and they have always brought to the

Crown added glory and honor.

At the beginning of the Queen's reign the present Dominion of Canada consisted of several provinces, each with a separate Legislature. From Halifax to Toronto our cities, such as they were, were garrisoned by Imperial troops, as we had no military forces worth mentioning of our own. In Toronto we had one Anglican, one Roman Catholic, one Presbyterian and two Methodist places of worship, and not sufficient clergy to supply them efficiently.

There is something in the position of Queen Victoria, as she approaches the confines of late old age, which deeply moves the world's imagination. In all history there has been no such reign, so long, so little marked by collisions between sovereign and subjects, so little broken by nublic calamity or failure of any description

by public calamity or failure of any description.

There is no corner of earth within her dominion, or one in which the English language is spoken, where the Queen would not be as

safe as within the walls of Windsor.

At the root of her greatness has surely been her gentleness. The half-forgotten Court gossip of the past is full of little tales of the tenderness which underlies the well-known force and firmness of Her Maiesty.

The Queen's high esteem of the sacred Scriptures is evinced by an anecdote that many of our readers may be already familiar with. It was a noble and beautiful answer, says the British Workman, that our Queen gave to an African Prince, who sent an embassy with costly pres-

ents and asked her to tell him the secret of England's greatness and England's glory; and our beloved Queen told him not of her fleet, of her armies, of her boundless merchandize, or of her inexhaustible wealth. She did not, like Hezekiah in an evil hour, show the embassador her diamonds and her rich ornaments, but handing him a beautifully bound copy of the Bible, she said "Tell the Prince this is the secret of England's greatness."

In the Queeu's diary are some passages about preachers. In October, 1854, she writes: We went to kirk as usual at twelve o clock. The service was performed by the Rev. Norman McLeod, of Glasgow, and anything finer I never heard. The sermon, entirely extempore, was quite admirable; so simple, and yet so eloquent, and so beautifully argued and put. The text was from the account of the coming of Nicodemus to Christ by night. (St. John chapter iii.) Mr. McLeod showed in the sermon how we all try to please self, and to live for that, and in so doing found no rest. Christ had come not only to die for us, but to show us how to live. The second prayer was very touching, his allusions to us were so simple, saying after his mention of us, 'Bless her children.' It gave me a lump in my throat, and also when he prayed for 'the dying, the wounded, the widows, and the orphans.

In the following year the Queen heard the Rev. J. Caird, who, she says, "electrified all present by a most admirable and beautiful sermon, which lasted nearly an hour, but kept one's attention rivetted." The text was Rom. xii. 11, "Not slothful in business: fervent in spirit; serving the Lord." The Queen adds: "He explained in the most beautiful and simple manner what real religion is: how it ought to pervade every action of our lives; not a thing ouly for Sundays or for our closet; not to drive us from the world; not 'ap perpetual moping over good books'; but 'being and doing good,' letting everything be done in a Christian spirit. It was as fine as Mr. McLeod's sermon last year, and sent us home much edified."

There are many passages in the Queen's journal showing her anxiety to be faithful in the government and training of her children. She kept them as much as possible under her own care, till the increasing demands upon her time and attention of State duties and loyal hospitality forced her to leave to others much that, as a loving mother, she would have preferred to do herself. Speaking of the Princess Royal when a child, she says: "It is a hard case for me that my occupations prevent me from being with her when she says her prayers."

Her Majesty, however, exercised extreme care in the choice of those to whom she committed the training of her children, as the instructions to the governess of the Princess Royal show: "I am quite clear that she should have great reverence for God and for religion; but that she should have the feeling of devotion