

THE INLAND WATERWAYS OF CANADA

"Conservation of Natural Resources," if it means anything, is in the proper utilization of Natural Resources, and where can you find this applicable on so large a scale, as in the common ownership of inland waterways for improving transportation? This primarily is a business question and secondarily an environmental question." — HENRY HOLGATE, C. E., Montreal (Chairman, Committee Quebec Bridge Inquiry, 1907).

OUR TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM FRANKLY DISCUSSED

The St. Lawrence-Welland Deep Waterway is a National Necessity. The French River Development and Georgian Bay Canal are Only a Contractors' Project. Commercially Impracticable and Condemned by the Government Engineers' Report

Why the Railways Oppose an Ocean Waterway to Lake Superior—Sir Robert Peck on Our Western Waterways—Even Georgian Bay Promoters Acknowledge the Merits of the St. Lawrence-Welland Project—Support for the French River Development Seamed by Peculiar Tactics and Fallacious Arguments—The Georgian Bay Canal is a Vastly Greater Nonsense—Hasty, Speedy Action Necessary to Meet Competition of Panama and Erie Canals—A Government Commission to Deal With Inland Waterways.

GREAT WATERWAYS UNION OF CANADA (Berlin, Ontario)

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE—D. B. Dewar, Chairman, Berlin; J. W. Lyon, Secretary, Guelph; F. F. Scott, Milton; Galt; Treasurer, A. Baker, Waterloo; Geo. C. M. Lang, Berlin; Charles M. R. Graham, Mayor, London; Alexander Stewart, President Board of Trade, Guelph; Geo. A. Bobbie, President Board of Trade, Galt; G. J. B. Ryan, Guelph; Ald. W. McArgoyne, St. Catharines; Controller Church, Toronto.