

LABORATORY
OF THE
INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT
OTTAWA CANADA

BULLETIN No. 122

HONEY 1906

OTTAWA, July 9, 1906.

W. J. GERALD, Esq.,
Deputy Minister of Inland Revenue.

SIR,— I beg to transmit herewith a tabulated statement of the results of examining 54 samples of honey, which were collected during the months of March and April last. The methods of examination followed were the same as described in Bulletin No. 90, the remarks in which as regards standards and limits are again applicable. There were 54 samples collected in all, and the following summary gives the number obtained in each inspection district, and the opinions arrived at concerning their character.—

Inspection District.	Genuine.	Adulteration Declared.	Adulterated.	Total.
Nova Scotia.....	4	0	0	4
Prince Edward Island.....	2	0	0	2
New Brunswick.....	4	0	0	4
Quebec.....	3	1	1	5
St. Hyacinthe.....	3	0	1	4
Montreal.....	6	0	0	6
Ottawa.....	5	0	0	5
Kingston.....	3	0	1	4
Toronto.....	5	0	0	5
London.....	4	0	1	5
Manitoba.....	1	0	3	4
Calgary.....	1	0	1	2
British Columbia.....	3	0	1	4
	44	1	9	54

It will be seen that 44 out of 54 samples were found to be genuine, a proportion amounting to 81·5, and which is nearly the same as found in the collection of 1903.

I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant,

THOMAS MACFARLANE,
Chief Analyst.