## QUESTION.

We have a marked evidence that a great quantity of our land is diverted into the hands of Loan Companies every year, and the country in some districts is almost depopulated. It appears strange those mighty men of valor should have the power to take all our land, our cattle, and every thing grown or otherwise on the land, when they have only invested to a one-third valuation, and we are informed that Uncle Sam to the south will only allow a company to take one-half the stock and land and leave the settler on the other half.

#### REPLY.

Is it not distinct that the law had given the land into the hand of creditors from a distance in the majority of cases, and by the removal of the actual settler, the property diverted back to the value of wild land, and is it not clear that the law had dealt between creditor and debtor in almost a criminal manner, and that we are not receiving justice equal to another nation?

# QUESTION.

There are complaints of inability to pay seed grain notes through the loss of crop some three years back, by hail; there has been a judgment of distress taking the crop since, and now the Municipal Solicitor is proceeding to sue and take more blood money in the manner of costs, which come first. Has a man no alternative but to mortgage his farm, for there is nothing exempt from the value of note or costs?

### REPLY.

Is it not proof positive beyond a doubt, that the law was acting in an unjust and criminal manner toward debtor, when the actual cause of the first trouble was an affliction sent by God, and creditor and debtor should share the loss then and there. The law should not be the antagonist to say the creditor should have all, and the debtor, who had invested actual value to a greater extent in the majority of instances, have nothing.

### QUESTION.

It is a well known fact, that under the new exemption act, a poor man losing one of his family, or a horse, or ox, or crop, he is not able to get a dollar's worth of any thing on eredit, not even seed grain from the council.

### REPLY.

Is it not proof beyond a parable, that the law has come between man and man, to such an extent that none but the rich shall live, causing a still more criminal aspect, which will have a tendency to cause a poor man to rob and plunder from need, when it is a well known fact that lumber to make a coffin, or wood, or iron of any sort, is to be had in every man's possession.