Mr. Chevrier: The point is, it was voted eleventh twelfth. May I ask him whether he upon last month and this bill should contain expects to move to go into supply shortly one twelfth of that item of \$50 million contained in vote 213A. I do not see it in the bill or in the schedule, and I just wanted to know why it was not included.

Mr. Knowles: Is it not in clause 2(c) of the hill?

Mr. Chevrier: The hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre points out that it is referred to in clause 2(c) of the bill, but it is clearly set out there that it is exempted and not contained.

Mr. Grafftey: Eleven twelfths have already been approved.

Mr. Chevrier: My question is then, why is the one twelfth not included in the bill?

Mr. Nowlan: Well, this is the eleven twelfths up to date. The hon. member will remember there were certain items in the paper I passed him last week when we started this rather long performance, which were "save and except," and there were various items on which exceptions were made.

Mr. Pickersgill: Why was it voted earlier?

Mr. Nowlan: It was a month ago.

Mr. Pickersgill: And I ask why, because \$50 million is a lot of money and surely the minister knows why it was voted earlier?

Mr. Chevrier: Why was it voted earlier and not included in the bill now?

Mr. Pickersgill: Surely the minister can tell us why the \$50 million is not in this?

Mr. Nowlan: Because the \$50 million was voted.

Mr. Martin (Essex East): I suspect the Minister of Justice has something to do with it.

Clause agreed to.

Clauses 3, 4 and 5 agreed to.

Schedule agreed to.

On the preamble.

The Chairman: Shall the preamble carry?

Mr. Knowles: Before the preamble carries may I ask the minister when he plans to bring down his next interim supply bill?

Mr. Nowlan: After consultation with the house leader and after the Christmas recess.

Mr. Knowles: Then assuming the house does not come back until January 21, and since February will be just around the corner there will not be many days until the minister needs money for February. In other of the Journals it should be agreed that it is

Royal Assent

after we come back?

Mr. Nowlan: I am not the house leader, but I would expect I would be moving to go into supply shortly after we come back. We have already opened up a certain number of departments and I am sure the committee will want to co-operate and pass those estimates. Then we will have to bring in further supplementary votes to open all other departments, and I suppose that action would be taken fairly soon.

Mr. Chevrier: Will the minister do it per saltum?

Mr. Pickersgill: Because we have not had much time to scrutinize it I ask the minister to give us a categoric undertaking that this bill does not contain the total vote for any item whatever in the annual expenditures for 1962-63?

Mr. Nowlan: I gave that undertaking when I moved the resolution.

Mr. Pickersgill: Not with respect to the bill.

Mr. Nowlan: Then I am very glad to repeat that undertaking. It does not contain the final vote on any individual item nor does it preclude discussion on any matters when we are discussing the estimates.

Preamble agreed to.

Title agreed to.

Bill reported and read the third time and passed.

THE ROYAL ASSENT

Mr. Speaker: I have the honour to inform the house that I have received the following communication:

> Government House, Ottawa, December 20, 1962

Sir:

I have the honour to inform you that the Honourable Patrick Kerwin, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Canada, acting as Deputy of His Excellency the Governor General, will proceed to the Senate chamber today, the 20th December, at 9.45 p.m., for the purpose of giving the royal assent to certain bills.

> I have the honour to be, sir,

Your obedient servant, A. G. Cherrier, Assistant Secretary to the Governor General.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Chevrier: Mr. Speaker, before you leave the chair-

Mr. Speaker: One moment. For the purposes words he will be coming to the house for the now five o'clock and that the agreement