Mr. Deputy Speaker: There are a number of divorce bills on the order paper for second reading, being items 37 to 50 inclusive. Is it agreed that these bills be considered in the one motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

SECOND READINGS—SENATE BILLS

Bill No. 264, for the relief of Lorraine Bella Spegel Weisberg.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 265, for the relief of Frances

Kellerman Rappoport.-Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 266, for the relief of Eleanor Grace Jones Graham.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 267, for the relief of Gabrielle Dal-

laire Boulet.-Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 268, for the relief of Phyllis Elizabeth Warner Collins.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 269, for the relief of Margaret Gwendoline Turner Williams.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 270, for the relief of Mary Ferguson Wynter.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 271, for the relief of Sheila Winnifred Richardson Hiscock.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 272, for the relief of Anne Roitman Aronovitch.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 273, for the relief of Kathleen Gertrude King Laffin.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 274, for the relief of Gardner Hinckley Prescott.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 275, for the relief of Ethel Cope

Veary.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 276, for the relief of Gordon

Stewart Norris.—Mr. Hunter.

Bill No. 277, for the relief of Lucille Grenier Desjardins.—Mr. Hunter.

VICTORIA INSURANCE COMPANY OF CANADA

Mr. J. H. Dickey (for Mr. Hunter) moved the second reading of Bill No. 280, to incorporate Victoria Insurance Company of Canada.

Mr. Macdonnell: Is the sponsor going to make any comment?

Mr. Dickey: This is the usual form of bill for the incorporation of a company to carry on business in the branches of insurance enumerated in clause 6, on the performance by the company of the usual financing arrangements which are set out in the bill.

The bill is in the usual form. It is approved by the superintendent of insurance. It has been considered in the other place. If second reading is granted it is proposed to move in the normal manner that the bill be referred to the standing committee on banking and commerce.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and referred to the standing committee on banking and commerce. Supply—Citizenship and Immigration

CRIMINAL CODE

AMENDMENT WITH RESPECT TO WATER POLLUTION

On the order:

Resuming debate on the motion of Mr. Diefen-baker for the second reading of Bill No. 186, an act to amend the Criminal Code (nuisance).

Mr. Campbell: Mr. Speaker, the Minister of Justice (Mr. Garson) was speaking on this bill when the house adjourned last Friday.

Mr. Harris: Stand.

Order stands.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The items under private and public bills having been exhausted, the house will resume discussion of the business which was interrupted at five o'clock.

SUPPLY

The house in committee of supply, Mr. Robinson (Simcoe East) in the chair.

The Chairman: When the committee rose at five o'clock we had concurred in resolution 559 and were considering item 560.

DEPARTMENT OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

560. Citizenship registration branch — further amount required, \$4,000.

Mr. Macdonnell: I was very interested in the explanation given by the Minister of Citizenship and Immigration regarding the telephone bill, but I was not sure that I fully understood it. I wonder whether he would try to make it clear to me. It had to do with the distance between here and Newfoundland and various considerations of that kind.

The Chairman: Order. We had carried resolution 559. Has the hon. member unanimous consent to revert to the former item?

Mr. Pickersgill: We can do it later on my regular estimates.

The Chairman: Shall item 560 carry?

Item agreed to.

Indian affairs branch-

561. To provide that the amount of advances outstanding at any one time with respect to loans to Indians under section 69 of the Indian Act, notwithstanding subsection (5) thereof, may total but not exceed \$650,000, \$1.

Mr. Knowles: Would the minister give us an explanation of item 561. It is for only \$1, but we have to look at it rather closely.

Mr. Pickersgill: Mr. Chairman, the last thing I want to do is get into an ideological quarrel with the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre. I have never, however—and I say this as a prelude to what I am going to say—agreed with his view that legislation