Closer than the closest of all earthly bonds is the vital union of the believer with Christ. One roof may cover them who are knit in the most intimate of human relationsh:ps. But beneath that roof, within that family circle, amid all the endearing intercourse and communion, a dividing line runs betreen spirit and spirit ; each dwells apart, has within a hermit sphere of its own to which it can retiresinto which none can follow or intrude. But what saith our Lord of the connexion between Himself and each of his own? "He that eateth my flech and drinketh my blood, dwelleth in me, and I in him." IIe opens Himself to us as the hiding-place, the resting-place, the deelling-place for our spirit. We flee unto Him, and He hides us in the secret of his presence, and keeps us secretly in that pavilion. What a safe and happy home! How blest each spirit that has entered it! But more wonderful than our dreeling in Him, is his dwelling in us. What is there in us to attract uclı a visi tant?-irhat room rithin our souls suitabie to receive Him? Should He come, should He enter, what kind of reception or eutertainment can se furnish to such a guest? Yet He comes- He deigns to enter- He accepts the pour provision-the imperfect service. Nas, more. though esposed to many a slight, and many an open insult, He still waits on , haspity, has patience, forgets, forforgives, acts as no other guest in any other dwelling ever acted but Himsclf. "Behuld I stand at the door and knock. If any man bear my roice, and open the door, I will cone in to him, and sup with him, and he with me." "If any man luve me, he will kecp my sord, and my Father will love him, and we will come in to him and make our abode mith him."

To a still higher conception of the intimacy of the union between Himsclf and his orrn does Jesus carry us: "As the living Father hath sent me and I lise by the Father, so he that cateth me shall live by me." It would seem as if all the carthly imagery clsewhere employed-that of the union of the branches with the vinc, of the members with the head, of the building with the foundation-stonc, -horever apt, were yet defective, as if fur the on!y fit, full emblem Jesus had to rise up to the hearens to find it in the closest and most mysterioas union in the universe, the eternal inconceivable, ineff.blc union betreen the Father and Himself, -" That they all may be onc, as thou, Father, art in me, and $I$ in thee, that they also may be onc in
us-I in them and thou in me, that they may be made perfect in one."

There is a resemblance approaching almost to a coincidence between the language that Jesus used in the synagogue of Capernaum and in the upper chamber at Jerusalem, on the night of his betrafal. "TLE bread that I will give," he said to the promiscuous audience of Galileans, "is my flesh, which I will give for the life of the world." "Take, eat," such is his language in instituting the Supper; "this is my body broken for"-or as St. Luke has it"given for you." In either case the bread tarns into the flesh or body of the Lord. There bad been no wine used in the feeding of the five thousand, and so in the imagery of the synagogue address, borrowed obviously from that incident, no mention of wine was made. There was wine upon the supper-table at Jerusalem, and so, just as the bread which was before Him was taken to represent the body, the wine ras taken to represent his blood. That very eating of his flesh and drinking of his blood, of which $\S \bigcirc$ much mas said at Capernaum, Jesus, in instituting the ordinance of the Supper, taught his disciples to identify with a true union with Himself. So close is the correspondence that many have been led to think that it was to the Eucharist, and to it exclusively, that Jesus referred in his Capernaum address. We cannot tell all that was then in our Sariour's thoughts. It may have been that in imagination He anticipated the time when He should sit dorrn with the twelve. The Holy Communion may hare been in his eye as He take within the Galilean synagogue. But there is nothing in what He said which points to it and to it alone. He speaks of the coming to Him, the believing in Him as the cating of the bread which is his flesh. He speaks of spiritual life oring its cummencement, as well as its continuanie, to such coming, such believing, such eating. Is it in the ordinance of the Supper, and in it alone, that we so come and beliere, eat and live? Is there no finding and haring, no feeding upon Christ but in the Holy Sacrament? Frecly admitting that to no scason of communion, to no spiritual act or exercise of the believer, do the striking words of our Lord apply with greater propricty and furce than to that scason and that act, when together we show forth the Lord's death till He come again, me cannot confinc them to that ordinance.

Williay Havia.

