with pained surjetise. The reason is known to those who have sindled the history of that period Priend of liberty as he was, broad as he was in his conceptions, visioned as events showed him to have been. Lord Durham himself lid not appreciate the whole effect of liberal Institutions. Coming to Canada at a time when the very atmosthere was reeking with relicition, he formed a hasty judgment upon the French population of that day, which he expressed In vebement and scinewhat haughty langnage. He thought they could not be reconciled to British rule, and statel in his report that the conditions were such that the two provinces should be united, so that French Canada should be rule! the stern and relentless hand of un English-speaking majority. It is not to be windered at that whiln the report was made known in Canada it not only caused, as I have sald, pained surprise, but produced a feeling of injustice and wrong. Sir, I repeat that I ord Darham, friend of liberty as he was, did not realize the full force of free institutions, didperceive, as other men perceived at that thme - men who, im this subject, had a better conception of things than he hadthat there are principles superfor to race feeling, that there are principles that can unite men of all origins in a common asidration for the welfare of their common country. Such a man was Louis Hippolyte Lafontalne; such a man was Robert Baldwh, When the provinces were united, Lafontaine, speaking of the Act of union, characterized it:

As unjust and despotic in this that it was imposed on us without our content; in this that it deprives lower Canada of its legitimate number of representatives; in this that it deprives us of the use of our language in the proceedings of the legislature against the justice of treaties and the piedgel word of the Governor General; in this that it forces us to pay against our consent, a debt which one had not contractel; in this that it allows the executive power to take lilegal hol, unler the name of civil list, of an enormous portion of the revenues of the country.

This was a severe arraignment, and unfortunately it was only too true, but what was the conclusion arrived at by Lafondaine? Did he say that the French Canadians should not accept the Act of union? No. Men there were at that thue who impediately started an agitation for the repeal of the union, and those men were joined some years afterwards, when he came back from exile, by Paldneau, a strong man, an eloquent man, a man of intense nature, and whom the very intensity of his nature always carried beyond the point into impracticable conclusions. La-

fontaine was a different man, he was a brond man, he understood the situation. The Act of union was not satisfactory to his fellow-connirymen, he thought it was an injustice, but he accepted it, because principles there were by which every inmedic could be rectified. It is upon those principles, Mr. Spenker, that we rely. In the address which I have just read, addressed to the electors of Terrebonne, he continued as follows:

The reformers in the two provinces are an immense majority. . . Our cause is the same. The interest of the reformers in the two provinces is to meet in the legislative ground, in the spirit of peace, of milon, of unity, of fraternity. Unity of action is more than ever necessary, I have no doubt that the reformers of Upper Canada feel, as we do, the need of it, and that in the first session of the legislature, they will give us unequivocal proof of it, which, I hope, will be the piedge of a confidence both reciprocal and durable.

Sir, in these noble sentiments he found an auxillary in htat other great and true Canadian and British subject, Robert Baldwin. The confidence which he had looked for, he found; it turned out to be as he expected. It was not onlyreciprocal and durable. Above all it was fruitful. policy obtained for the French-Canadians the restoration of the rights of which they had been degrived by the act of unions; it removed the dissensions, which up to that time, had rent the land; introduced amilty and concord among the different races and branches of the Canadian family; it established a permanent and ever-growing prosperity; it in-creased loyalty to the Crown and brought li to its highest plich of enthusiasm and devotion; it brought up Canada, step by step, stage by stage, to the high position which it occupies at this moment; and as I said at the beginning, so I say in conclusion, this is the last and crowning effort of the policy which was then happily inaugurated. Sir, we must advance, we cannot remain stationary. We must ad. vance. To remain statioaury in this age is to recrograde; we must advance. And anain on this occasion, as in the days of Lafontaine and Baidwin, we appeal to moderate men in all part sof the community. We appeal as they dld appeal, in a spirit of amity, of union, of fraterulty; we appeal, as they appealed, in the highest conception of the duty which we owe to our country and to the mother country. It is the tradi-tion of these great men, which is our su-preme inspiration to-day in turning this page of the history of Canada,