

Resources? He asks, "What about pricing?" I ask him, what about our oil companies? He condemns us and damns us. He is thumbs down on the Tories because he says they want to take away Petro-Canada, and they do not believe in Canadian companies. What does he substitute for a Canadian company? He wants a government company, and that is not the same thing as Canadians owning oil companies.

Big government and big multinationals give us no more sense of security, because that means big power. What the government drove out was far more than one-third of our small independent drilling companies, mostly located in Alberta, although some were in Saskatchewan. Any time the minister wants to challenge that, the people who have left can back it up. They would be very willing to come and be witnesses any time the minister wants to refer this subject to a committee.

**Mr. Gillespie:** Tell us about pricing now.

**Mr. Malone:** I answered the question on pricing. What the minister has not understood is that when we drive companies away, we are left to the pricing of multinationals. The minister opposite ought to know very well that he has to take a good portion of the blame for what happens with pricing when he removes competition and leaves it to multinationals to establish prices.

**Mr. Boulanger:** We have the best price in the world, and you know that.

**Mr. Malone:** The best price in the world is for Liberals. You can get them free.

**Mr. Boulanger:** I wonder if you are a Canadian or not.

**Mr. Malone:** I will just go through a few more policy pronouncements by my leader. My leader would establish a Canadian council of environmental and resource ministers. That would be foreign to the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources because if there is a council of resource ministers there cannot be confrontation politics. There has to be co-operation, and as the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce says, socialists do not like to co-operate. They like to have dictatorial powers.

Another major point is increased supply. The Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources would like to increase our reliance on foreign supplies. What we actually have is an imaginative government seeking ways to stay in power. It is not an imaginative government seeking ways to solve the energy problem but simply an imaginative government trying to apply artificial resuscitation. It is pumping its own chest in order to give the illusion out there in the nation that we have an impending crisis and that, because of that, we have to have an emergency bill.

Editorial after editorial has condemned it. The one I have here is dated Friday, March 16, 1979, and it states:

It is good for Canada that Lougheed won so strongly. Mr. Trudeau, a classic case of one who has misused parliamentary majority for dictatorial purposes, now has a revitalized Alberta which will oppose his plans to take over (steal?) the energy resources that rightly belong to the provinces.

### *Energy Supplies*

**Mr. Gillespie:** Source?

**Mr. Malone:** That is what we are talking about with this government.

**Mr. Jarvis:** It is based on a Horner speech.

**Mr. Malone:** The article goes on to say the following:

Trudeau's new Emergency Energy Act seems more a ploy to centralize power than it does to ensure that all Canada will have adequate energy. Otherwise the government would devise a 'policy' instead of take-over legislation.

That paragraph is very important.

**Mr. Dionne (Northumberland-Miramichi):** Where is it from?

**Mr. Malone:** What we have lacked is policy. What we have is the illusion of an emergency.

**Mr. Boulanger:** Who signed that?

**Mr. Malone:** I would sign it. I would write it and sign it.

**Mr. Boulanger:** You don't mean that, do you? Are you for Canada or Exxon?

**Mr. Dionne (Northumberland-Miramichi):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. The hon. member just read what is purported to be an expert source, but he did not give us that source. In fairness, I think he should put the source on the record.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Under the rules of the House he has to.

**Mr. Leggatt:** Jack Armstrong.

**Mr. Malone:** I would like to refer to another editorial, this one from the *Toronto Globe and Mail*.

**Mr. Dionne (Northumberland-Miramichi):** What was the last one?

**Mr. Malone:** The last one was the *Toronto Sun*, which could lead us into a whole new discussion of sunset laws. This government would do well to learn about them in order to cut government spending. It would do well to use a little solar energy and to have a little imagination to brighten up the lives of Canadians without having to talk about emergencies and crises and without dividing provinces one from another. The government has the attitude that somehow it cannot put something forward unless there is an enemy hidden in the closet.

If hon. members will permit me, I would like to go to another source, the *Toronto Globe and Mail* of Tuesday, March 20, 1979:

The act hands the government the stand-by power to take control of everybody and everything that has anything to do with petroleum, petroleum products and their alternatives within Canada.

Does that not sound just like the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce when he said that all that pack of socialists