

as late as the days of Judge Draper and Hon. John Hillyard Cameron, whose Law Reports show that services were set aside because they were not made by the Sheriff or his officer. The same law is in force in Georgia, Florida, and in many—if not all—the other States. The Division Court Clerks and Bailiffs are fully protected, no services being valid unless made by a Bailiff of the Court. Surely the Sheriffs are not asking too much in asking that they and their officers shall be given the same protection as is given the Clerks and Bailiffs of the Division Court.

I have now fully explained the wrongs practiced on Sheriffs and litigants, and have also submitted my Scheme for their removal, a Scheme which, while protecting Sheriff and litigants from the plundering practiced upon them, would be just to the Lawyers. My aim is to have a law that will secure to the Lawyers and Sheriffs their legal fees and no more, and prevent them doing wrong the one to the other, or to the litigants. If the Government refuse or neglect to grant the Legislation I ask for, I must then submit the facts of my case to my old friends in Ontario, and ask their verdict upon it. I am confident they will not approve of using their money to recoup the Sheriffs in part for the fees taken from them and given to Lawyers as provided under the Act 45 Vic., Chap. 11, as shown to be done by the statement hereunto annexed.

ARCH. MCKELLAR,

Hamilton, July 4th, 1889.

*Sheriff.*