

*Business of the House*

Canadian transportation system, particularly as it exists through Manitoba and Ontario?

Is there no point at which the public interest or the national interest would prevail over the marketplace?

**Hon. Shirley Martin (Minister of State (Transport)):** Mr. Speaker, the public and national interests are both best served by a strong national Canadian railway.

\* \* \*

## POINTS OF ORDER

## TABLING OF DOCUMENT

**Hon. Pauline Browes (Minister of State (Environment)):** Mr. Speaker, as a result of a question from the member for Markham—Whitchurch—Stouffville, I would like to table a document that I referred to.

This document is called *Rouge Valley Park Planning Project: Phase I Report, Background, Principles and Options*. This summary of the report is filed in both official languages.

## CORRECTION OF COMMENTS

**Mr. Lee Clark (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of the Environment):** On a brief point of order, Mr. Speaker, I would like to correct some information provided to the House yesterday, December 4, as reported at page 5773 of *Hansard*.

The Minister of the Environment properly indicated to the House that there would be a net reduction of 600,000 tonnes of organo-chlorines by the end of year 1993, but he should have indicated that would be from 1988 levels rather than from 1980 levels.

\* \* \*

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

## WEEKLY STATEMENT

**Mr. David Dingwall (Cape Breton—East Richmond):** Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the government House leader, perhaps I could direct my question to the acting House leader and see if he could provide to the House what the business will be for the remainder of today,

Friday, Monday and all next week including Thursday and Friday and if need be on Saturday.

[*Translation*]

**Hon. Pierre H. Cadieux (Minister of State (Youth), Minister of State (Fitness and Amateur Sport) and Deputy Leader of the Government in the House of Commons):** It will be a pleasure, Madam Speaker, to try and respond to the hon. member's customary question. As far as today is concerned, this afternoon we will call the financial institution bills on third reading: C-4, C-19, C-28 and C-34.

Tomorrow, Madam Speaker, we hope to continue and complete the debate on third reading of these bills. We also wish to complete report stage and third reading of Bill C-5, which deals with the Aeronautics Act.

On Monday, December 9, we will call Bill C-32, the Canada Assistance Plan, for debate at the report stage, and possibly for debate on third reading, Madam Speaker.

Tuesday will be the last allotted day.

On Wednesday, we will call Bill C-38, the privatization of Telesat, for debate at the report stage, and we hope to finish both report stage and third reading the same day.

As for Thursday, Friday, Saturday and, if need be, Sunday, Madam Speaker, the usual discussions will proceed.

[*English*]

**Mr. Dingwall:** Madam Speaker, I am wondering if the minister could perhaps check with the parliamentary secretary to the government House leader. It was my understanding that since Tuesday is an allotted day, on Monday we would be just commencing on Bill C-32. On Friday, it was my understanding that we would be proceeding with report and third reading of Bill C-5 and thereafter in the afternoon we had hoped to be able to proceed with a concurrence motion of my colleague for Ottawa—Vanier with regard to the second report.

I am wondering if perhaps the minister, who has now had an opportunity, could clarify that. I think it is just the renaming of certain bills from 32 to 38 and perhaps he could change that.