

Government Orders

Motion No. 3 will be debated and voted upon in combination with motion No. 5. An affirmative vote on motion No. 3 will obviate putting the question on motion No. 5. A negative vote on motion No. 3 will require that the question be put on motion No. 5.

Since motion No. 6 is identical to motion No. 5, it will not be selected.

Motion No. 4 was moved, debated and negated in the legislative committee.

There are minor differences between this motion and the amendment moved in committee, but they are not sufficient to overcome the prohibition in the Standing Order. Therefore, under the authority of Standing Order 76, subsection (5), I will now not select motion No. 4 for debate.

Motions Nos. 4(a) and 7 will be grouped for debate. An affirmative vote on motion No. 4(a) will obviate the need to put the question on motion No. 7. A negative vote on motion No. 4(a) will require the question to be put on motion No. 7.

Motions Nos. 8 and 9 are acceptable. They will be grouped for debate. An affirmative debate on motion No. 8 will obviate the need to put the question on motion No. 9. A negative vote on motion No. 8 will require the question to be put on motion No. 9.

Motions Nos. 10, 11, 12 and 13 will be debated and voted upon separately.

Motions Nos. 14, 15, 16 and 17 will be grouped for debate and a vote on motion No. 14 will be applied to motions Nos. 15, 16 and 17.

I have serious reservations with respect to motion No. 18. In my view, it is outside the scope of the bill and, in addition, it would require the expenditure of public moneys which only the Crown may authorize through a royal recommendation. Therefore, a new clause by a private member creating a charge on the public purse is not acceptable. It would infringe upon the financial initiative of the Crown. In this connection, I would refer the hon. member to chapter 15 of Beauchesne's sixth edition on financial relations between the Crown and Parliament.

Motions Nos. 19, 20, 22, 24 and 26 will be grouped for debate. Each of them deletes a clause of the bill. They will be grouped for debate, but each will be voted upon

separately. Since motion No. 21 is identical to motion No. 20, motion No. 23 is identical to motion No. 22 and motion No. 25 is identical to motion No. 24, they will not be selected.

Therefore, I will recapitulate for the hon. members for the convenience of the House. Motions Nos. 1, 2, 4, 6, 18, 21, 23 and 25 will not be selected.

The following motions are in order and will be debated and voted upon, as follows: Motions Nos. 3 and 5 are grouped for debate. An affirmative vote on motion No. 3 will obviate the need to vote on motion No. 5. A negative vote on motion No. 3 requires the question to be put on motion No. 5.

Motions Nos. 4(a) and 7 will be grouped for debate. An affirmative vote on motion No. 4(a) will obviate the need to put the question on motion No. 7. A negative vote on motion No. 4(a) will require the question to be put on motion No. 7.

Motions Nos. 8 and 9 will be grouped for debate.

• (1210)

An affirmative vote on motion No. 8 will obviate the need to put the question on motion No. 9. A negative vote on motion No. 8 will require the question to be put on motion No. 9.

Motions Nos. 10, 11, 12 and 13 will be debated and voted upon separately.

Motions Nos. 14, 15, 16 and 17 are grouped for debate. A vote on motion No. 14 will be applied to motions Nos. 15, 16 and 17.

Motions Nos. 19, 20, 22, 24 and 26 will be grouped for debate and each will be voted upon separately.

I will now put motions Nos. 3 and 5 to the House.

Mr. Ian Waddell (Port Moody—Coquitlam) moved:

Motion No. 3.

That Bill C-12 be amended in Clause 2 by striking out lines 32 to 38 at page 2 and substituting the following therefor: "young person, and the court shall order

- (a) that the young person be proceeded against in youth court, or
- (b) that the young person".

Motion No. 5

That Bill C-12 be amended by deleting Clause 2.

He said: Mr. Speaker, I would just note in passing that it is somewhat ironic that my motion is the first after this morning's debate. I have nothing to say about this