Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): I agree with that.

Mr. MacINNIS: It seems to me that the misunderstanding in respect of section 72 arises from the wording of the marginal note. But when one reads the section itself, it seems to be perfectly clear that the commission will look after the benefits of any employee or insured person with regard to whom an employer fails to make the proper collections and proper contributions. I believe it is the marginal note that is at fault.

Section agreed to.

On section 73—Powers of the inspectors.

Mr. MacNICOL: How many employees does a factory have to have before it comes under the bill?

Mr. McLARTY: One employee brings it under the bill.

Section agreed to.

Sections 74, 75 and 76 agreed to.

On section 77—Unemployment insurance fund.

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): The intention is that the funds shall be kept in a special account in the consolidated revenue fund, and that that account shall be known as the unemployment insurance fund. The section states:

77. (1) There shall be a special account in the consolidated revenue fund called the unemployment insurance fund (in this act referred to as "the fund"), to which the Minister of Finance shall from time to time credit all moneys received from the sale of unemployment insurance stamps and all contributions paid otherwise than by means of such stamps (including penalties payable to the fund) under the provisions of this act.

The next subsection states that the moneys provided by parliament shall be credited to the fund. Then section 78 provides that the Bank of Canada shall be the fiscal agent, and states in part:

Provided that credits in the fund not currently required for the purposes of this act shall, as provided in this section, be invested by the commission in obligations of, or guaranteed by, the government of Canada, and investments so made may be sold or exchanged. . . .

And so on. I suppose those are senior securities of Canada. I was wondering if there would be diversification.

Mr. McLARTY: No; they must be in Canadian government or Canadian government guaranteed securities.

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): Perhaps that is the safest procedure. Of course, my mind travels rapidly to the case of the Manitoba savings bank. After all, Canadian government or Canadian government guaranteed securities are the senior securities of Canada.

Section agreed to.

Sections 78 to 82 agreed to.

On section 83—Constitution of committee.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver Centre): This section is amended by deleting subsection 8 and substituting the following therefor:

(8) Each member of the committee shall receive such remuneration and travelling expenses in connection with the work of the committee as may be approved by the governor in council.

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): This part of the bill, which deals with the unemployment insurance advisory committee is, of course, a very important part. We find that section 82 provides for the appointment of the committee, to perform the duties specified in the measure. Then section 83 provides for the constitution of the committee and its tenure of office. Just what class of persons will be included in the advisory committee? What would be the nature of their duties? I notice there is a provision stipulating that no member of parliament or of a legislature shall be eligible. I believe that is a proper provision. We have seen it in other acts, and of course the purpose of it is that of protecting the independence of parliament.

Mr. ROWE: And to leave it open for defeated candidates.

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): Yes; would the minister insert a provision that no defeated candidate or ex-member of parliament might serve? It would appear to me that this committee would be a haven of refuge, and that defeated government candidates would not be able to resist it.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver Centre): We did not have any defeated candidates.

An hon. MEMBER: How about the leader of the opposition?

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): Thank you, no, not for me, nothing like this. I am serious about this, when I say that I have no hope that the minister will put in such a provision. However, it should be in.

Mr. McLARTY: No evil intent, then.

Mr. HANSON (York-Sunbury): To be serious, just what class of persons does the minister think he would have on this commission? What do they do in England?