believe that that policy has given unbound- to prohibited goods. As respects the duty ed satisfaction. We did not make the mis- on raw leaf tobacco, which we imposed a take, and we do not make the mistake now, year ago, we propose that, after the 1st July of supposing that the tariff is perfect. There next, it shall be collected through the Deare duties in it which are higher than some partment of Excise instead of through the of us would like them to be. There are Department of Customs. duties which we hope will not remain for ranged that in the Excise Department the ever or for a very long time. There has duty shall be collected on a basis on what been, however, a very general recognition is called in the Inland Revenue Department among the friends of the Government of the standard weight. Under the existing fact that, in a matter of this kind, it is ne-law, tobacco is dutiable on the weight when cessary that we should proceed in the spirit it passes through the customs. Arrangements of compromise. It was realized that, in a have been made by some of the large manularge question, affecting such a wide area facturers to dry tobacco before it passes of country, with so many conflicting inter- out of the warehouse, so that they do not ests, we could only hope to work out the pay on the moist weight. Other manufacdetails of the tariff by giving and taking, as turers, not being so well able to do that, respects the different sections of the counare obliged to pay on the moist weight. It try. It was recognized by the people that seems only fair and equitable that we should we ought to avoid, as we did, such radical treat all alike, and when it is to be dealt changes as might be calculated to seriously with by the Excise Department, that the disturb the business of the country. All regulations of that department should be these considerations, into which the Govadopted in the matter. With regard to the ernment were bound to enter, and which duties on tobacco, which were increased last influenced the policy of the Government to a considerable extent, have been recognized by the public at large. I believe the people: are reasonable in that respect, and will not ask us to make at once that which would be a radical change, and that which might have a disturbing influence on business. I think it will be admitted that frequent tariff changes are not desirable. Something in the nature of tariff stability is much to be desired. It is better, even, that we should bear with some inequalities that may exist, than suffer the greater evils which would arise from frequent tariff changes. We have had representations made by a number of interests which, they think, ought to receive more consideration. Some of these presented cases which have, to some extent, commended them to our judgment, and if we were opening up the general revision of the tariff, or a revision to any considerable extent, we would be able to lend a willing ear to some of the representations that have been made to us. But we think, Sir, on the whole, believing as we do that the changes in the tariff should not be numerous or frequent, believing that we should have a large measure of tariff stability, believing that the public understand the policy of the Government in this respect and will be content to have us carry it out in that spirit of moderation and caution that we have so far evinced, we wish to announce to the House that it is not our intention to make any numerous changes in the tariff at the present session. In fact, I may state that, so far as the rates of duty are concerned we have only one change to announce. There are some other changes which are more matters of form than of substance. The preferential tariff will have to be amended in the direction I have already indicated. We think it is expedient to make a change that is purely

year has been received by the country. We technical in the section of the law relating It has been aryear to a considerable extent, I am free to say that I have doubts that this is as wise a measure as we hoped it would prove. may say frankly that I am afraid it has led to an increase of smuggling to a very considerable extent, and we may well consider, at a future day, whether or not we can successfully enforce so high a scale of However, the law in that respect duty. has been in force for a very short time, and we think it only reasonable to give it a fairer trial before we condemn it. So we do not propose to make any change with regard to tobacco except as I have just announced.

But, Sir, while it is a good thing to avoid what is called tariff tinkering, there is just a possibility that in condemning that practice we may go too far. I find that tariff tinkering is an expression which means different things in the minds of different men. A man who is quite satisfied with the tariff thinks that any interference with it is tinkering, whereas a man who is not satisfied thinks that some change such as he desires would be high statesmanship. We wish to guard against frequent changes in the tariff, against tariff tinkering, but let us be careful how we convey the impression to the public, because we do not mean it, that we regard the tariff as final. Let it not be supposed that the tariff is settled for ten years or even for five years. So long as there are high duties, there must be demands for tariff changes. Nothing is settled until it is settled in accordance with right, and so long as there are high duties, we may expect agitation for reduction. I am afraid there is no rest for the protected I am inclined to think. Sir, manufacturer. that he will find eternal vigilance to be the price of his protection. He must be on guard all the time against the attack that he knows must always come. So long as