

As a matter of
with the Uni-
as the Council

members of the
ese gentlemen,
tee understood,
first meeting,
d, and resigned
ns in writing.
to be complied
rooms in the
nal application
considered the

mitted to the
hompson, and

ion was with-
30th was post-
F. Mills, which

y of the Club
to the pro-
v made, the
en published
the circum-

he stated in
ed, "That he
other reason,
tor; and for
turing in the

ght years ago
mitting this,
urch papers,
urrence that
strike these
d clear to the
University
e, for reasons
h they were

the *Varsity*
ten by Mr.
January the
ent Loudon
in the next
nery would
ection with

this matter have been detailed in the complaint of Mr. Tucker upon the first branch of the investigation.

About this time and a little later, a Petition signed by a number of the students, some 400 in all, was forwarded to the Government. (A copy of this Petition will be furnished to the Commissioners.) This Petition in the long run was not granted.

On January the 11th, by reason of the refusal of the University Council to grant rooms, etc., the Political Science Club passed resolutions to engage a hall for the purpose of holding a meeting to hear Messrs. Jury and Thompson.

The President on January the 23rd caused an article to be published in the Toronto papers setting forth the position taken by the Council and its reasons therefor, whereupon the Political Science Club published its statement in the Toronto papers on January the 25th.

On January the 31st a Mass Meeting of the students was held, at which a Committee of five was appointed to draw up a statement on their side of the case, and such a statement appeared in the *Globe* of February the 2nd. On February the 4th, Prof. Wrong published an article in the Toronto papers giving his views of the situation, to which a reply by Mr. Tucker appeared on February the 5th. In the same paper of February the 4th Mr. B. E. Walker wrote an article dealing with Prof. Wrong's appointment. On February the 9th Prof. Dale replied. This letter of Prof. Dale appearing in the *Globe* of February the 9th led to his dismissal by the Government, which dismissal was announced on February the 15th.

On the 15th of February, a Mass Meeting was held of the students, an account of which will be found in the *Globe* of February the 16th at which, amongst other things, a resolution was passed of sympathy for Mr. Hellems, who resigned his position on the staff, owing to the dismissal of Professor Dale, also respectfully requesting the reinstatement of Professor Dale, and pledging the students to abstain from all lectures of the University until his reinstatement, or until the dismissal of Professor Dale was re-considered. This meeting motion to abstain from lectures was carried with only four dissenting voices. Immediately thereafter on Feb. the 16th, articles appeared in the *Globe* and *Mail* attacking Professor Dale, and also in the *World* of about that date, which articles were written or inspired by members of the University Council. A number of students continued to attend partially, certain students taking the 4th year honor mathematics, and while they did not attend lectures, took the laboratory work, and President Loudon stated that the Council could not permit a class to take the laboratory work without attending the lectures. About the same time a number of lady students received notice ultimately emanating from the President, that any one of them advising another to abstain from lectures, would render herself liable to expulsion. This notice came through the lady superintendent, Miss Salter. About the same time President Loudon locked the doors of the Biological Building upon certain students there meeting, doing this with the view of preventing an address to these students by Messrs. Tucker and Greenwood.

On February the 20th a Mass Meeting of students was held at Wardell's Hall, the proceedings of which appeared in the papers of February the 21st. The Minister of Education had previously stated that a commission would not be granted upon the application of the students unless, and until they made specific charges at the meeting of February the 20th. The legal opinion of Messrs. Howland, Arnoldi & Bristol, Barristers of Toronto, was read advising against such specific charges being made.