

6.

C 2

3rd Witness
for
Prosecution

Seaforth of C.
K-52798, L/Cpl. Davidson, M. Attd. I.(R.)T.C.
being duly sworn, is examined by the prosecutor,
and states:-

"Sir, On June 1st, 1940 I was Commander of the
Guard, main gate Currie Barracks, Calgary.
At 1900 hours M-16788 Pte. Elkowich, G. was
turned over to me by Corporal Marsh. I placed
him in confinement. He was wearing civilian
clothes.

Defending Officer declines to cross-examine.

R.P. 83B is complied with.

4th Witness
for
Prosecution

P-27949 - S/Sgt. Hadland, H.W. R.C.A.S.C., C.A.S.F.
being duly sworn, is examined by the prosecutor,
and states:-

"I am Transport accountant, H.Q., M.D.No.13. On
31st May, 1940, I issued Travelling Warrant #5362
covering return transport Calgary to Edmonton for
escort party - Cpl. Marsh, Private Batty, Warrant
also covered transportation from Edmonton to Calgary
for M-16788 Pte. Elkowich, G. Edmonton Regiment,
and one other prisoner, the total value of the Warrant
being \$19.50. Travelling Claim covering expenses
of escort party, amounting to \$4.25 has been passed
for payment. I know of no other transportation ex-
penses in this case."

Q.7 "Total of \$23.75 covered the cost of transportation
of the accused and one other prisoner?"

A.7 "Yes, sir".

Q.8 "Therefore, it is reasonable to suppose \$11.88 should
be charged against the accused as the cost of his
return to Calgary?"

A.8 "Yes, sir".

Defending Officer declines to cross-examine.

R.P. 83B is complied with.

5th Witness
for
Prosecution

Lieut. W.C. Roberts, No.13 District Depot, C.A.S.F.
being duly sworn, states:-

"I submit herewith certified copy of the statement of
surrender of M-16788 Pte. Elkowich, George, to Officer
Commanding Depot, The Edmonton Regiment, C.A.S.F. at
1100 hours 30th May, 1940, also a certified true copy
of the Declaration of Court of Inquiry investigating
the illegal absence of the accused and deficiency, if
any in his clothing and equipment".

The above documents were read by the President and
marked "p" and "q" respectively and attached to the
Proceedings.

Defending Officer declines to cross-examine

R.P. 83B is complied with.