less suc-

y past inprinciples rable that nistration. ie, and ne But lest igement, a n insult to such as no t mean to my words, not underwas un language ie British The effect eretary of in the Prevas denied act, on the ned. Can we this efwith your owever, ne rstand, as k you, sir? o it? Does

is country restrictive the British al, in their operation To yield to is country, as nothing concession, a resort to vo nations. d the plain ts continutified those ed that furtion of the arry on the French de-

ook like a

ie common

erees, in November, 1810, and the consequent revival, in 1811, of our restrictive system against Great Britain.

If ever a body of men were pledged to any thing, the American cabinet, its friends, and supporters, were pledged for the truth of this fact, that the French decrees of Berlin and Milan were definitively repealed, as it respects the United States, on the first of November, 1810. If ever any body of men staked their whole stock of reputation upon any point, our cabinet did it on this. They and their partizans asserted, and raved. They denounced every man as a British partizan, who denied it. They declared the restrictive system was revived, by the mere effect of the proclamation. But, lest the courts of law should not be as subservient to their policy, as might be wished, they passed the law of the 2d March, 1811, upon the basis of this repeal, and of its being definitive. The British government refused, however, to recognize the validity of this repeal; and denied, that the Berlin and Milan decrees were repealed on the 4st November, 1810, as our cahinet asserted. Thus, then, stood the argument between the British Ministry and our cabinet. The British Ministry admitted, that, if the Berlin and Milan decrees were repealed on the 1st November, 4810, they were bound to revoke their orders in council. But they denied that repeal to exist. Our cabinet, on the other hand, admitted, that, if the Berlin and Milan decrees were not repealed on the 1st November, 1810, the restrictive system ought not to have been revived against Great Britain. But they asserted that repeal to exist. This was, virtually, the state of the question, between the two countries, on this point. And it is agreed, on all hands, that this refusal of the British government to repeal their orders in council, after the existence of the repeal of the Berlin and Milan decrees, as asserted byt he American cabinet, was the cause of the declaration of war between the two countries. So that, in truth, the question of the right of war, depended upon the existence of that fact; for if that fact did not exist, even the American cabinet did not pretend that, in the position in which things then stood, they had a right to declare war, on account of the continuance of the British orders in council.

Now, what is the truth in relation to this all important fact. the definitive repeal of the Berlin and Milan decrees on the 1st November, 1810; the pivot upon which turned the revival of the restrictive system, and our declaration of war? Why, sir, the event has proved, that, in relation to that fact, the American cabinet was, to say the least, in an error. Bonaparte himself, in a decree, dated the 28th of April 1811, but not promulgated, till a year afterwards, distinctly declares that the Berlin and Milan decrees were not definitively repealed, as relates to the United, States, on the 1st Nov. 1810. He, also, declares that they are then, on that 28th of April, for the first time repealed. And he founds the issuing of this decree on the 1st of the American Congress, of the 2d of March 1811; that very act, which was passed upon the ground of the definitive repeal of the Berlin and Milan decrees, on the 1st November, 1810; and which,