

as this? I am not inferring now that it is what is going to be done. How would he view such a recommendation as this, if this committee should suggest that the publications be banned, that Jehovah's witnesses be declared once again legal but all that they should be able to circulate would be portions of the scriptures?

MR. MAYBANK: And not interpret it?

MR. HANSELL: And not interpret it.

WITNESS: May I call to the attention of the committee this situation, that during the past fifteen years Almighty God has raised up amongst the nations certain men like John Huss, Knox, Wycliffe and Luther and men of that sort, who have in their day given witness to the purpose of Almighty God and they have spoken the Word of God and explained it to the people as also did the Apostles and the others. To suggest that one is not going to be able to preach the gospel by adding any other comment to what is there, is to effectively deny the right to preach the gospel. And I say if that is what the committee wants to do, I have no interest in the matter one way or another. So far as I personally am concerned, it does not influence my mind. I say that Almighty God has said a witness is going to be given to His Kingdom; and if this committee wants to assume the responsibility of saying that witness shall not be given, I do not care about it. All I say is this, that this committee then must carry before Almighty God the full responsibility for their actions. And if they do that, then the committee is responsible and Almighty God says His Word shall be preached. He says, "Touch not mine elect and do my appointed no harm." The responsibility is the committee's.

BY MR. MAYBANK:

Q. In other words, you are indifferent? A. Well, I would like to put it this way, that I should certainly