

in the name of Moyer became held in the name of Ebbs. Senator McDougald knew quite well that from 18th May, 1928, to October 2nd, 1928, Moyer held for him just as Ebbs held for him from 2nd October onward. It is more than a violation of language to describe such an error as merely an "ambiguity."

At this point it must be remembered that from the 20th day of April, 1928, Senator McDougald was an active member of a Committee of the Senate appointed by virtue of the following resolution:

Ordered, that a Special Committee of the Senate be appointed to enquire into and report from time to time on the matter of the development and improvement of the St. Lawrence River for the purposes of navigation and production of electric current and power and matters incidental to such objects; and that the Committee be empowered to send for persons, papers and records, to examine witnesses under oath if deemed necessary, and to employ stenographers and other clerical help subject to approval of the Senate in regard to expenditures, &c."

This Committee held meetings and conducted hearings until the 7th day of June, 1928. At its meeting of 31st May, 1928, he put certain questions (quoted in part from the Commons Report above) previously prepared and submitted to the witness, for Mr. Henry's answer. On the Senator's own admission, he had held, as well as his interest in the Sterling Industrial, a large interest in the Beauharnois Company itself since 18th May, 1928. It is a singular thing that the sense of honour which on April 19, 1928, compelled him to deny before the Senate of Canada any connection with Beauharnois and all the "implications, suspicions and aspersions" which he said were cast on him by the Globe and Mail and Empire, did not impel him to disclose to the Senate or its committee the personal interest which he held in the very subject matter which the Senate Committee was reviewing. In our opinion it was his duty so to have done. On the contrary he contented himself by giving answers as follows:—

By Mr. White:

Q. I suggest to you that on that date when you called Mr. Henry as a witness you had an interest in the Beauharnois project?—A. That is right.

Q. That is right. Did you disclose that to the Committee?—A. It was none of their business whether I had or had not any interest in it.

The paragraphs of the summary dealing with the price at which Senator McDougall purchased his shares and to certain travelling expenses received by him are as follows:

(19) *Further in his speech on the 20th May, 1931, Senator McDougald said: I might add that I paid into the syndicate dollar for dollar with every other member of it.*

(20) *As previously pointed out in this Report, Senator McDougald, Senator Raymond, and Mr. Frank Jones, bought their units in the first syndicate for many fewer dollars per share than any other of the members, except possibly Mr. Sweezy who got some of his for a consideration other than cash.*

(21) *It is also significant that Senator McDougald received considerable sums of money from the Company for travelling expenses.*

In confirming the facts set out above, we add that the Beauharnois Company paid the hotel expenses of Senator McDougald in Ottawa during the time the Commons Committee sat, though at that time Senator McDougald was in Ottawa attending the Session of the Senate.

Included in the above expenses was the sum of \$7,500 the fees of Mr. Starr, who appeared before the Commons Committee as counsel for Senator Mc-