with y iz only a bad fashon we hav crept intu. Furthermore, in keeping it up, we ar but wearing the old cast-of clothes ov the French tung. They formerly speld many words with y. (az Roy, king foy, faith; now, Roi foi;) but they hav abandond it; while stil, and properly, keeping it in certain de rivativz, for exampl, royaume, kingdom.

Vs, feruent, giue. etc. - V and u wer diferent shapes uzed for v and u; but they wer not uzed each for each until about 1630. Before that, u often stud Yu reader, do the for v, and v for u. same tu-day with other letrz, custom blinding yu tu it Exampl: in persuade and assuage yu uze u for sound ov w, and, vice versa w for u. az in howl; the latr being betr speld with on azin house. In like maner, yu haw g for j, and j for y, and y for i, and c for s, and ch for If they wisely sim k, besides others. fied-the whole matr iz one ov simplification - matrz in 1630 by giving tu u and v definit and fixt valyuz and aded the needed new letrs as W and J. so shud we in 1885 giv definit valyuz tu thoz we hav in use, and ad the necessaly new ones.

Gospell, generall, etc.—We hav dropt one ov the final letrz in theze and like words. Why do we stil dub, them in 'shal,' will,' and a host ov others? -Why indeed! They ar no more necess ary than a fifth wheel tu a coach We do not dubl them in many compound words, az fulfil.

Give .- After 1630, this word began tu be speld give, and the final silent e became u eles. Yet it haz been kept ever sins! Before 1600 it was needed tu show that u had the consonant pow er v. With the change in 1630, it shud hav been dropt. Many ov our myriad silent letrz ar such miserabl excrescences az this.

Queenes .- The poresiv, for Queen s. Sinnes. - Why did not our forefathers change at once tu 'sinz?'

Kepte. Wear thankful for the modern omission ov e. Let us apply the pruning-knife stil more. () mit it from else, sense, and a thouzand other words.

noted by ie: thus they wud spel pitte |cistion. - Ep.];

what we now spel pity, but at the same time with pity we hav pitiab e. pitiful, pitying piteous. It wud hav been much simpler and more uniform tu hav dropt the final e alone, and then we hav piti, 'pitiful,' 'pitius,' etc.

Those. - Ov the two forms ov s, the crooked form haz survived. The long shape lasted until the erly part ov this this century.

Comming. - Let us be thankful for not being compeld tu dub, the m

Church.churche. It was then nothing uncomon tu hav the same word speld diferent y on the same page.

O. -Obzerv that the o'z ar almost In the original they ar more nearly so then in our imitation.

DISTRIBUTION. - A singl copy ov this paper weighs only one-seventh ov an ounce It can then be enclozed in most letrz without increas ov postage. wil then speak for itself and at the same time furnish an explanation and reason for any Amended Speling the Thoz who writer may choos tu uze. wil get up a club in a scool can hav 20 copiz monthly tu one adres for \$ per year. This is only 5 cents a year per Any one interested can thus copy. keep many abrest ov the pro ected change without its being felt a: a tax

BAD ORTHO; PY. -It iz not so much the slang that shud be deprecated it iz the careles pronunciation on this side ov the Atlantic In nothing iz Herbert Spencer's rebuke ov the hasty way in which everything iz.done more relevant than in the habit ov rapid pronunciation. It is no minor matr. Even the ideal loving Mr. Ruskin mentions somewhere that clear definit utterance iz a mark ov a gentlman The best evidence ov progres on this continent wil be when we pay more attention tu teaching our children how tu pronounce. - Toronto World. This iz easy and practicabl only with a simpl, yet efectual, alfabet capabl ownoting the chief diferenses ov the vowel sounds: otherwize it iz an everlasting thuming ov standard dictionaries, with meagr Solemnitie, authoritie. - Short i, at results. Speling by sound iz indeed a the end ov a word, was, as a rule, de- royal road tu lerning accurat pronun-