You had better keep Ir. Chairman, when we

that is not true we are it, and deny it openly and er whether we are in or-Vell, sir-oh, never mind o argue with you about it.

nt of these matters, but before your notice the ave, unintentionally. ne point at issue. I want ou plainly. Will you grant Mr. Ladner? You are thoroughly unjust man-

: Oh, that is all very well. n the New Westminster e evidence that is to be hey will want to reply,

Why shouldn't they? g: It would take us years that rate. It is absolute folly to talk have acted wrong all a wrong time for this com-d everything else. must interrupt. On be-

not make such a remark When I come forward and

We want to get on with the

Then why not do it, and do : Why should Mr. Ladner to come down from New give evidence, anyhow. Will you hear Mr. Ladner

Well, if he appears before the matter into our con-

I enter my protest against eeding, and wish to have the n the minutes. I will have at Ottawa yet. I would like to see you

er, sir.

No, not as a member. As a commission; and I will pay s too. That's more. Now if you will be quiet we I call Mr. Ladner to the object? The decision will

g: What do I care for the you cared a little bis n't stand in the foolish posi. What is the decision? g: I object.

I am thoroughly sick and As far as I am concerned I wont ask you to prescribe

ion about the next place of med, it being finally decided mo on Wednesday, and Saturday. wish to appear before this tender evidence on a point estioned on in my prev

repeat my application re-uietly. Will you hear me? quietly. Will you hear me? dner business over again. No it isn't. It is very rish to give evidence arising nan's statement here before e my previous testimony. Sit down. We cannot allow

u or anybody else. ng: The rule must not be ing is put in in writing it ed, but as for questions prodecidedly "no."
If Mr. Rithet has any new

it I want to hear hi ot going to have him getting d causing a discussion again. want to say what I've got h. I will swear to my own I hand anything in in writ-The Chairman has just

s: The Chairman has just the first portion of the prow Westminster. The very tare, "Mr. Porter re-called," rough the pages, I find Mr. three or four times. If he come back, why should not n the same position?
That is not the point.
Why should you hear one other? Think for a moment.
To to disallow me. how can

to disallow me, how can at the impression we get of? Mr. Wilmot, in our evied us in our answers, at his , and now that we wish to things, he blocks us. We can't hear men contraunder oath.
You can't do what? Mr.

ng sworn makes himself re-is own statements.

: My application has been ress, and if it is declined I ething to say about it else-future time. My applica-: Your application is de-

Thank you. : I wish to have it inserted that I totally disagree with on this question. We want rmation. We cannot have it c carried on like this. We to Ottawa that this has been

If these men were ignorand not men of— You are here only to take u are not a Supreme Court. on of fact. You are not the

ion of fact. I out are not the his matter.

Mr. Wilmot, will you get a lawyer on this matter?

We don't want the lawyers. thing before us.
They would set you right

Mr. Lawson, editor of the had been listening to the ft the room. ong: There goes the COLONIST heard quite enough to suit heard quite enough to suit We can talk without being

Yes. This Commission will Excuse me. I call Mr. w witness.
ston, sworn: I have been
for 28 years; am manager of
blumbia Canning Company,
Findlay, Durham & Brodie.

nnected with canneries since say that the business of

pression that canhers are making fortunes is a totally wrong one. In 1884 and 1885 several canneries were suspended. We have now to compete with Alaska, where fish is now to compete with Alaska, where fish is packed cheaper than we can pack it. No profit will be made out of last year's work. This year, the prospects are not much better. It is utterly wrong to say that \$5,000 would build a caunery; \$25,000 would have been nearer the mark. Beside the capital invested in canneries, must be added working capital, which invariably amounts to a very large sum. Last year, I think, the business of licenses was, in principle, fair enough. But other buildings obtained licenses that were not canneries. We were fishing with twenty boats, while other canneries were fishing with forty. I appealed to the inspector. I don't think the Government intended that such licenses should

to the inspector. I don't think the Government intended that such licenses should be issued. I believe that all license fees should be equal, licenses not transferable, and only given to bona fide fishermen, one apiece. I represent five canneries in the

and only given to be a substituted and apiece. I represent five canneries in the province, one on each river.

Francis Page sworn: I wish to submit an inventory of the year's work of the Wellington and Delta canneries, giving all details. I am a part proprietor in both. The value of building of the former is \$14,965, machinery \$9.530, material \$14,098, office furniture \$232, mess house \$67, steamer \$3,000. The land we value at \$150 per acre. It is worth \$500 now. The total expense, capital laying idle from season to season, is \$41,892. In this amount is included what we paid for the land ten years ago. The statement represents the amount of capital invested.

PROVINCIAL LIGISLATURE

Second Season of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

Prov. 1182.

The State of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

Rent.

THEN YOUNG A Use of the Sixth Partite

THEN YOUNG A US

THE CLTY.

dealt with at to-night's meeting of the City Council, and, though it may possibly be shelved to the "City Barristers," without discussion, will probably be the cause of a good deal of lively debate for some time.