

BRITISH NEWS.  
IMPERIAL PARLIAMENT.

SPEECH OF THE DUKE OF WELLINGTON.

The noble viscount appears to have been in the habit of employing harsh language and screams towards your lordships, and yet he affects the greatest surprise that my noble and learned friend, having a measure to propose upon a subject respecting which he required information, should have taken the opportunity of making some observations in reply to those remarks, and should endeavour to defend his own conduct and that of other noble lords with whom he sits. (Hear, hear.) The noble viscount and the noble Baron appear to have totally forgotten the harsh terms which they have used towards my noble and learned friend. (Hear, hear.) What is the object of my noble friend's motion, as connected with his speech? The object of the motion is to draw attention to the conduct of the noble viscount and other noble lords, and in the course of his speech my noble friend very properly defended himself and his own conduct with respect to various measures which have been brought forward under the consideration of this house during the present session. My noble friend also referred to the announcement contained in the King's speech at the commencement of the session, and contrasted it with the result, as exemplified in the several measures brought forward in this and the other house of parliament, and he also referred to the conduct of the noble viscount and other noble lords, who have been brought forward in pursuance of the announcement in the King's speech, and he stated that measures have never been brought forward, although promised; what subjects have never been adverted to at all by the government; and finally he has shown what has been the fate of those measures which have been introduced by government, not only in this house, but in the other house of parliament, in which we give our assent to measures which will be introduced by government. (Cheers.) That was the purpose and object of my noble and learned friend, and for that he has been used in the manner he has just been used by the noble viscount, and some other noble lords. (Hear, hear.) I repeat say, in support of my noble and learned friend, that the conduct of those noble lords who have acted on this side of the house throughout the present session of parliament, has been marked with the utmost moderation and forbearance. For my own part, I believe that I was not more than twice in the house till after his Majesty's holiday. After the day when the address to his Majesty was voted, I was never in the house for said a word in opposition to the government, till I endeavoured to prevail upon the noble viscount to postpone the consideration of the corporations amendment till after Easter. Till then I never spoke a word in this house. Since that period, it is true, I have taken part in the discussions on various measures brought under the consideration of the house, and I have certainly given my vote in opposition to those measures brought forward by his Majesty's government; but I must at the same time say that I have always given my reasons for my vote upon those occasions in moderate and temperate terms; and though those reasons may not have appeared very good to noble lords opposite, I have acted according to the best of my opinion, and in a way which I thought most beneficial to the public interests. (Loud cheering.) The noble viscount has been pleased to hint to me without having come forward with addresses, beseeching his Majesty to remove the noble viscount and his present colleagues from office. Why, if we look at the manner in which the present government was appointed—(cheers)—if we look at the history of that transaction, of little more than twelve months' standing, I believe we shall find sufficient reason for the manner in which the noble viscount has acted. He knows well on what ground he stands in his Majesty's service—(continued cheering)—and he might as well have avoided hinting to me without having come forward with addresses, beseeching his Majesty to remove him and his colleagues from office. (Cheers.) What I would recommend to the noble viscount is that he should consider himself, not as a minister of a democratic body in another place, but as the minister of a king in a limited monarchy with various interests, and that he should consider the measures which he proposes to bring forward for the consideration of parliament, and above all, those which he proposes in the speech to be delivered from the throne by the crown in such a manner as to suit the interests of all—(cheers)—in such a manner as would meet with the good will of all, and not of the party in opposition to the government. (Loud and almost general cheering.) If the noble viscount will follow that course for some time, he will experience no difficulty in this house, but on the contrary, will find that the noble lords will ever be ready to forward his measures. (Hear, hear.) I wish the noble viscount to recollect one circumstance in reference to the measures which he recommends with regard to one particular subject—I mean the Church of England, whether established in England or Ireland. Let the noble viscount recollect that the sacred policy of this country—the policy followed for 200 years—has been to maintain inviolate, if possible, the Church of England. (Cheers.) Let him recollect that we call here to consult particularly for the good of the Church, and when he brings forward measures relating to that subject—particularly those which he and the noble Baron have been pleased to discuss in this house—let him recollect that those measures are to be considered in this assembly with a view to the maintenance of the Church according to the old policy of the country. The maintenance of the Church in England was not only the old policy of this country—it is still the policy of three hundred years' standing, but it is the policy upon which this and the other house of parliament acted no longer than eight or nine years ago, when they revised the safeguards by which the Church is up to that time had been defended. (Loud cheering.) Let him recollect that those great landmarks in view—if he will pay attention to the points which I have suggested in the measures which he conceals and brings forward, he will find that the house will support him probably with more zeal even than that house on which he so much relies. (Cheers.) There is one topic which I have purposely avoided throughout this session—one which I have purposely stayed away from this house to avoid the discussion of—I mean the foreign policy of the Government and I will not be induced, by any thing that has passed in this debate, to enter much at large into that subject now. The noble Baron opposite has stated that when I was in office I followed the course of policy which my predecessor had pursued. I beg to state that I found a treaty existing. (Cheers.) I know that it has not always been the practice for a British Minister to execute the articles of a treaty which has been assigned to him; but I considered it to be my duty to carry the treaty which I found existing into execution. (Cheers.) I went further; I took opportunity, with the consent of the party with whom we were in alliance—look the first step towards, at least, bringing the parties to something like reconciliation. (Hear, hear.) I cannot exceedingly regret only for the sake of humanity, but on account of the interest which I really and sincerely feel for the country in which I served so long. (Great cheering.) (Hear, hear.) I regret that the noble viscount's government should have departed from the principle of the arrangement which was entered into under my direction. (Hear, hear.) I regret that the noble viscount's government has encouraged—I do not mean to say that they have been parties to it—any active interference in the hostilities now being carried on in Spain. (Hear, hear.) I have said this before in this house. I will not say any thing further now; I will not advert to other measures which the noble viscount's government has adopted, because it is my sincere wish not to involve the house in a discussion on the subject, which can answer no purpose, and answering no purpose, can produce nothing but mischief. I beg to support my noble and learned friend in the course which he found it necessary to take in his own justification, and with a view to the very motion he has brought forward, and having done so, I will now sit down, thinking your lordships for the patience with which you have heard me. (Much cheering.)

THE PRESS.

London, Saturday Evening August 27. The pressure upon the money market continues, inasmuch as the best commercial paper cannot be had for less than the rate of interest upon loans and discount, still there is no doubt but that they are anxiously watching the result of the harvest here and on the Continent, particularly as it is well known that large orders have been received at some of the continental ports for wheat for the United States' market. The British Funds have been heavier to-day, and Consols closed at 90 7/8 and 91 1/8 account.

THE QUARTERLY REPORT OF THE WEEKLY LIABILITIES AND ASSETS OF THE BANK OF ENGLAND.

The quarterly report of the weekly liabilities and assets of the Bank of England, from the 31st of May to the 23rd of August, presents the following results on comparison with the like return made up to 26th of July. The circulation of the Bank of England has increased in the sum of £121,000, and its deposits have increased in the sum of £301,000. The securities in the possession of the bank having increased in the amount of £1,030,000, while during the same period, or rather since the last monthly return, the stock of the Bank to meet liabilities in paper and deposits the same in effect, to the amount of £32,857,000, has been reduced to £6,325,000, which is £261,000 less than on the last return. The amount of the rest has increased in the small sum of £7,000. On the 31st of May, that is, from the 9th of February, the stock of bullion has decreased in the sum of 140,000, but the circulation has increased in the sum of 634,000. On the year, the stock of bullion has decreased in the sum of £100,000, but the circulation has increased in the sum of 279,000, and notwithstanding the large investments in hand, the deposits on the year have increased in the sum of £45,000.

DISSOLUTION OF THE FRENCH MINISTRY.

London, Aug. 22.—We announced by an extraordinary express from Paris, on Saturday morning, that M. Thiers with five of his Ministerial colleagues, had resigned their places in the Cabinet, on the ground of differing from the King upon the question of intervention in Spain. That statement was made expressly upon the authority of the Journal de Paris, an evening paper, which has been hitherto regarded as all but an official organ of the Government. On the following day, (Friday) however, in consequence of some juggling which had taken place during the night, the Ministry, the avowed organ, published the following bulletin, which may be imagined produced not a little surprise in Paris:—

"The President of the Council, Minister of Foreign Affairs, has to-day tendered his resignation to the King. From which it would appear that the resignation was not intended to be a resignation of the Ministry, but of the Ministers themselves. Upon this topic, which would be inexhaustible for surmise, we shall not at present offer any speculation, particularly as, before going to press, we may have more recent intelligence, but will extract from one or two of the papers all that could be said.

PARIS, AUG. 26, 1836.

The National quoting from the Monitor the resignation of M. Thiers, adds: "Let the reader compare this with the note published by the Ministerial Evening Journal, and let him explain why this note, inserted in a paper which has every character of an official Journal, does not agree with the Monitor.

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several members of six who resigned yesterday, thought better of it during the night. It would not be the first time that the inflexibility of a Ministerial opinion has yielded to the charms of power. The Journal des Debats seems to be better informed than the Ministerial Evening Journal. It says that well-informed persons believe that the only resignation of which there is no doubt, is that of the President of the Council. At all events, it is clear that the resignation brings with it in fact the dissolution of the Cabinet." Second edition of the National, Aug. 26th.

Since writing the above, the Paris papers of Saturday have come to hand. They are fully engaged in discussing the ministerial whereabouts. The Courier Francaise most unfairly asserts that, "the Minister has ceased to be the official Journal." Notwithstanding the indirect denial of the monitor of Friday, the Journal de Paris of that evening publishes the following bulletin:—

"Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."

THE FRENCH PRESS.

Several morning Papers of this day appear to believe that M. Thiers had retired alone from the ministry, and that, consequently, the Cabinet still exists. These Journals were misinformed. The Cabinet is dissolved. We are authorized by M. Passet, Saunzet, Dupret, Maitson, and (Petit de la Lozere), to declare that they meant to concur in the resolve of M. Thiers."