enced, or his practice governed, by the same time I would exhort my any human authority, but by the reader, to allow his mind to be in-word or God alone, which shall here fluenced by the word of God alone." be plainly and fully laid before him."

"The first piace of Scripture where the ordinance of Baptisn; is found, lier information on this important describes the mission, preaching, and subject, we shall begin with the misbaptizing of John, the harbinger of sion, preaching, and baptizing of C1 Atst. As all the four evangelists have given us some account of John, the forerunner of Jesus Christ. And as all the evangelists are preand his practice, I shall take the full-pared to give us some account of lest information from them all, and jJohn, and the method he pursued, we present it to the reader in its proper, will hear each one in the order in

0

u

present it to the reader in its proper will hear each one in the order in connexion." which they stand in the New Testament, and get all the information we can."

"There are two inquiries which I place of Scripture laid before you.

Il In what manner was this ordi-ance administered. Whether adults nance administered. only, professing to be awakened to their spiritual concerns, or with them also their infant children; -nnd whether they received this ordinance by sprinkling, pouring, or immersion."

"I shall now suppose that you, my is sil suppose you, my reader, are de-tender, are desirous of knowing from sirous to have answered by every Scripture, what description of persons were admitted to Baptism in inose I. What description of persons were days; and in what manner this ordiadmitted to Baptism in those days; nauce was performed, whether by and, sprinkling, pouring, or immersion."

I have now advanced as far as your sixth page, and have produced five quotations, so nearly agreeing with Pengilly, that they do look like children of one family. But, let these examples suffice at present, to bring to your remembrance, Pengilly's Scripture Other specimens, in the course of the letter, I shall have to exhibit. But, is it not inconsistency with a witness, after condemning so loudly the opinions of men, and making so much blustering about Mr. R. quoting from " Fathers, Professors and Doctors," that at the same time you should be servilely copying from a "fallible" publication.

Not in a very reverend or proper manner, do you tell us, that you have but one REVEREND to refer to, whereas, we scarcely open your book, but the Reverend Mr. Pengilly's words are evi-You have not read indeed, the "talented" Wardently copied. son or Dr. A. Clarke, for "Christ has no where told you any thing about searching their writings." Pray who told you to search Pengilly's writings? Or if you are allowed to quote, may not another enjoy the same liberty. You know that to Mr. P. you are largely indebted; indeed but for his work instead of your pamphlet being "the production of little more than a week," I am doubtful whether you would have presented it to us in a YEAR. A great deal of the best portions of your work is from the Ameriean Scripture Guide—all the calumny is yours—all the abuse is your own.